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#### Abstract

We designed a series of benzoic acid derivatives containing the biphenyl ether or biphenyl template on the RHS and a phenylethanolaminotetraline (PEAT) skeleton, which was prepared by highly stereoselective synthesis, to generate two structurally different lead compounds ( $\mathbf{1 0 c}, \mathbf{1 0 m}$ ) with a good balance of potency, selectivity, and pharmacokinetic profile. Further optimization of the two lead compounds to improve potency led to several potential candidates (i.e., 11f, 111, 110, 12b). In particular, biphenyl analogue 12b exhibited an excellent balance of high potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.38 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ for $\beta_{3}$, high selectivity over $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$, and good pharmacokinetic properties in rats, dogs, and monkeys.


## Introduction

The $\beta_{3}$-adrenergic receptor $\left(\beta-\mathrm{AR}^{a}\right)$, which is present on the surface of both white and brown adipocytes, plays a significant role in regulating lipolysis and thermogenesis in rodent and human adipocyte tissues. ${ }^{1,2}$ It has been reported that stimulation of $\beta_{3}$ - AR induces a variety of pharmacological effects such as an increase in fat oxidation, enhancement of energy expenditure, and improvement of insulin-mediated glucose uptake in rodent models, and thus, $\beta_{3}$ - AR agonists have been developed as therapeutic candidates for obesity and type II diabetes. ${ }^{3}$ Recent studies have indicated that in addition to adipocytes, the $\beta_{3^{-}}$ AR is also distributed in human heart, gall bladder, gastrointestinal tract, prostate, ${ }^{4}$ and urinary bladder detrusor tissue; therefore, new therapeutic applications of $\beta_{3}$ - AR agonists in the treatment of gastrointestinal and overactive bladder (OAB) have been studied. ${ }^{5-8}$ On the other hand, the concomitant activation of $\beta_{1}$ - or $\beta_{2}$-ARs would lead to undesirable side effects such as increased heart rate and/or muscle tremors. Thus, $\beta_{3}$-AR selectivity over $\beta_{1}$ - AR and $\beta_{2}$ - AR has been required for new therapeutic agents.
Early $\beta_{3}$ agonists (the "first generation" of potent and selective rat $\beta_{3}$-AR agonists) such as $\mathbf{1}$ (BRL37344), ${ }^{9} 2$ (CL316243), ${ }^{9} 3$ (SR58611A), ${ }^{9}$ and 4 (FK175), ${ }^{8 \mathrm{c}}$ as shown in Figure 1, have been reported to be effective antiobesity and antidiabetic agents in rodents. Unfortunately, 1, 2, and other $\beta_{3}-\mathrm{AR}$ agonists discovered during the 1980s were unsuccessful in the clinic either because of a lack of efficacy or an unfavorable cardiovascular side effect profile, and/or poor pharmacokinetics. ${ }^{3}$ Thus, a second generation of orally bioavailable human $\beta_{3}$-AR agonists with minimal side effects associated with activation of human

[^0]$\beta_{1-}$ and $\beta_{2}$-ARs has been an important goal of recent research. In the past decade, drug discovery efforts have shifted toward the design of selective agonists for the $\beta 3$-AR. Furthermore, several groups have reported a number of second generation of $\beta_{3}$-AR agonists with high potency and good selectivity with respect to human $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$-ARs, as exemplified by the potent and selective $\beta_{3}$-AR agonists 5 (LY377604), ${ }^{9,10} 6$ (L796568), ${ }^{11}$ and 7 (solabegron) ${ }^{12}$ (see Figure 2), but these are still not sufficient in terms of the pharmacokinetic properties. ${ }^{9,13,14}$

In our laboratory, our first clinical candidate 4, having a benzocycloheptene ring and carboxylic ester functionality (prodrug form) in the right-hand side (RHS) in Figure 1, showed good selectivity over human $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ ARs and good oral absorption in phase I clinical trials. However, it was still insufficient in terms of $\beta_{3}$ - AR potency and long duration for OAB treatment, since in the field of treatment of urinary bladder dysfunction, there is an unmet medical need for a once daily oral administration. On the other hand, in the early 1990s, Sanofi-Midy (now Sanofi-Aventis) identified a series of a phenylethanolaminotetralines (PEATs), similar to 4 as a common structure for the RHS region, as selective $\beta_{3}$-AR agonists in rodents. ${ }^{15}$ Among this series of PEATs, $\mathbf{3}$ was found to have the best profile as a $\beta_{3}$-AR agonist. Although $\mathbf{3}$ is less potent than isoproterenol (a nonselective $\beta$-AR agonist) and 4, it was developed as a potential treatment for irritable bowel syndrome and obesity and then for depression. ${ }^{13}$

Recently, we have disclosed ${ }^{16}$ a novel series of biphenylbenzoic acid derivatives as potent and selective human $\beta_{3}$-AR agonists that are orally bioavailable with a long duration. We demonstrated that the biphenyl $\mathbf{8}$ and biphenyl ether 9 templates with a benzoic acid moiety are essential for the good pharmacokinetic properties in our previous series. ${ }^{16}$ To overcome several problems of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$, we planned a discovery process for a novel tetraline series of second generation $\beta_{3}$-AR agonists, as shown in Figure 3. Our designed general $\beta_{3}$-AR agonist structure 10 (see Figure 3) involved construction of the PEAT skeleton with two chiral centers from $\mathbf{3}$ or $\mathbf{4}$, and the biphenyl $(\mathbf{8})$ or biphenyl ether $(\mathbf{9})$ templates with a benzoic acid moiety,
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Figure 1. Representative first generation of $\beta_{3}-\mathrm{AR}$ agonists.
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Figure 2. Representative second generation of $\beta_{3}$ - AR agonists.
which are important for not only $\beta_{3}$-AR agonistic activity but also pharmacokinetic properties.

We investigated the structure-activity relationship (SAR) and pharmacokinetic properties of a PEAT series of compounds $\mathbf{1 0}$, employing a cassette dosing assay by in vivo dog pharmacokinetic assay ${ }^{17}$ to generate two different lead compounds (10c, 10k) having biphenyl ether and biphenyl templates containing a benzoic acid moiety, with a good balance of potency, selectivity, and pharmacokinetic profile. Further optimization of the two different lead compounds (general structures 11 and 12) to improve potency led to several potential candidates (i.e., $\mathbf{1 1 f}, \mathbf{1 1 1}, \mathbf{1 1 0}, \mathbf{1 2 b})$. The synthesis of these compounds with two chiral centers, the results of in vitro and cassette dosing assays, and the PK profiles of our drug candidates are described in detail in the following sections.

## Chemistry

The requisite chiral amine intermediate $\mathbf{1 5}$ was prepared via asymmetric hydrogenation of the enamide $\mathbf{1 4}$ (Scheme 1). Since there are similar examples of highly enantioselective hydrogenation, ${ }^{18}$ we applied this reaction to the asymmetric synthesis of the aminotetraline 18, as shown in Scheme 1. Conversion of the 7-methoxy-2-tetralone $\mathbf{1 3}$ to the enamide $\mathbf{1 4}$ was accomplished by condensation with benzamide in the presence of Amberlyst-15 resin under Dean-Stark conditions, followed by asymmetric hydrogenation with a Ru complex. The screening of chiral ligands identified Ru(II)-(S)-SEGPOS 19 (by Takasago Co. Ltd.) as an optimal ligand to give a chiral amine $\mathbf{1 5}$ with high enantioselectivity. After recrystallization, $\mathbf{1 5}$ was obtained in $74 \%$ yield and $99.6 \%$ ee. Treatment of enantiomerically pure 15 with $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$, affording the corresponding benzyl intermediate 16, followed by removal methyl group with $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ and hydrogenolysis of the benzyl group furnished aminotetraline 18 in $50 \%$ overall yield from 15. The requisite intermediate PEATs derivatives 24-27 with two chiral centers were prepared as shown in Scheme 2. In general, coupling of the chiral amine 18 or 19 , which has previously been described, ${ }^{19}$ with the chiral epoxides $\mathbf{2 1} \mathbf{- 2 3}$, followed by protection of the amine with a Boc group gave phenol derivatives 24-27.
The general synthetic route to biphenyl ether targets (10a-i) is shown in Schemes 3 and 4. The phenoxyacetic acid analogues $(\mathbf{1 0 a}, \mathbf{b})$ were obtained by coupling of phenol derivative 24 with boronic acid using $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and MS4 $\AA$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, followed
by deprotection of TBS group, coupling with bromoethyl acetate, alkaline hydrolysis of the ester, and deprotection of the Boc group with 4 N HCl . Similarly, benzoic acid analogues (10c-f) were prepared from Boc amine derivatives 29a-d, which were obtained by coupling of phenol derivative $\mathbf{2 4}$ or $\mathbf{2 6}$ with methoxycarbonylphenylboronic acid, followed by alkaline hydrolysis of the methyl ester derivatives ( $\mathbf{2 9 a} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{d}$ ) and deprotection of the Boc group with 4 N HCl . The pyridine ether $\mathbf{1 0 g}$ was obtained through nucleophilic displacement of commercially available ethyl 6-chloronicotinate with phenol $\mathbf{2 4}$, followed by alkaline hydrolysis and deprotection of the Boc group (Scheme 6). Also, pyridine ether $\mathbf{1 0 h}$ was obtained by selective oxidation of aldehyde 31, followed by deprotection of the Boc group (Scheme 6). The thiophene analogue 10i was prepared from phenol 24 according to the procedure described for the conversion of $\mathbf{2 4}$ to $\mathbf{1 0 h}$. The synthetic route to c 11a-o is shown in Scheme 5. Similar to the conversion of $\mathbf{2 4}$ to 10c in Scheme 3, the targets $\mathbf{1 1 a} \mathbf{- d}, \mathbf{1 1} \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{l}$ were prepared by coupling of $\mathbf{2 4}$ or 25 or 27 with commercially available boronic acid 34,35 , or synthetic boronic acids 33 and $\mathbf{3 6}$ as shown in Scheme 11. ${ }^{16}$ The amino analogues $\mathbf{1 1 e}-\mathbf{j}$ were synthesized from 4 -amino intermediate 39. Coupling of phenol 24 with nitrophenylboronic acid 37 , followed by reduction with Fe and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, gave 39. The target 11e was prepared by alkaline hydrolysis of 39, followed by deprotection of the Boc group with 4 N HCl . Aniline 39 was coupled with acetic anhydride in the presence of pyridine, followed by the typical method to give acetyl analogue 11g. The $\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ analogue 11f was obtained through reductive amination of aniline 39 with formaldehyde with $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$, followed by alkaline hydrolysis and deprotection of the Boc group. In the same way, the p-chloropyridine analogue 11p was prepared via coupling of phenol derivative 27 with nitrophenylboronic acid 37. Similarly, the amino analogues $\mathbf{1 1 i} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{1 1} \mathbf{j}$ were prepared by reductive amination of aniline 32 with the corresponding ketones. The NH-n-Pr analogue 11 h was obtained from coupling of aniline 39 with $n$-Pr-I. The $p$-chlorophenyl or chloropyridine analogues $\mathbf{1 1 k}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{o}$ were prepared via the coupling of phenol derivative $\mathbf{2 5}$ or $\mathbf{2 7}$ with commercially available boronic acids as shown in Scheme 5. In the same way, pyridine analogue 11 m was obtained from 27, in an additional step, through dechlorination by catalytic hydrogenation in the presence of $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}$.



Figure 3. Design and discovery process of lead generation and lead optimization.
Scheme $1^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) 3 N HCl , toluene; (b) $\mathrm{PhCONH}_{2}$, Amberlyst-15 ( $50 \%$ wt \%), toluene, reflux; (c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (30 atm), Ru(II)/SEGPHOS, MeOH, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (d) $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$, THF, then 6 N HCl ; (e) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}$.

The general synthetic route to biphenyl targets $(\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{j}-\mathbf{p})$ is shown in Scheme 6. Suzuki cross-coupling of triflate derivatives, which were prepared by reaction of the corresponding phenol derivative $\mathbf{2 4}$ or $\mathbf{2 6}$ with $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 2,6$-lutidine in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at low temperature, with commercially available boronic acids, followed by alkaline hydrolysis of the methyl ester and deprotection of the Boc group with 4 N HCl , provided biphenyl targets $(\mathbf{1 0 1}-\mathbf{o})$. Similarly, the phenoxyacetic acid analogues $\mathbf{1 0 j}, \mathbf{k}$ were prepared from coupling product $\mathbf{3 8 a}, \mathbf{b}$, followed by using the same method described for 10a,b. The thiophene analogue 10p was prepared from coupling product $\mathbf{4 0}$ followed by using the
same method described for 10i. The general synthetic route to biphenyl targets ( $\mathbf{1 2 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}-\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h}$ ) is shown in Scheme 7. The requisite biphenyl intermediate $\mathbf{4 3}$ was prepared as follows: the chiral aminotetraline $\mathbf{1 8}$ was protected with a Cbz group, and protection of the phenol $\mathbf{4 1}$ with a triflate group, followed by Suzuki coupling with boronic acid and deprotection of the Cbz group, furnished biphenyl intermediate 43. As shown in Scheme 7 , the required optically active epoxides ( $>97 \%$ ee) were prepared through the our previous asymmetric synthetic procedures ${ }^{20}$ as shown in Scheme 10. Ring opening of epoxides with amine 43 in ethanol under reflux followed by alkaline

## Scheme $2^{a}$


${ }^{a} \mathrm{EtOH}$, reflux, then $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF}$.

## Scheme $3^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (a) Boric acid, $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 4 \AA$ molecular sieves, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (b) $\mathrm{Bu} 4 \mathrm{NF}\left(1 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in THF), THF, then $\mathrm{BrCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (c) 1 N aqueous NaOH , MeOH , then $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{AcOEt}$ or dioxane.

## Scheme $4^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMSO, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) 1 N aqueous NaOH , MeOH, then $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{AcOEt}$ or dioxane; (c) $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 80 \% \mathrm{NaClO}_{2}, \mathrm{MeCN}$, then $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} /$ dioxane.
hydrolysis of the methyl ester provided the target compounds as sodium salts. Similarly, the biphenyl targets 12c were prepared as hydrochloride salts by coupling of amine $\mathbf{4 3}$ with epoxide 44, followed by alkaline hydrolysis, deprotection of the Boc group with 4 N HCl , as shown in Scheme 8. In a similar manner, pyridine analogue $\mathbf{1 2 g}$ was obtained from 23 and 43 , in an additional step, through dechlorination by catalytic hydrogenation in the presence of $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}$.
Finally, the synthetic route to substituted biphenyl analogues $\mathbf{1 2 i} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{n}$ is shown in Scheme 9. Similar to the conversion of $\mathbf{2 4}$ to $\mathbf{1 m}$ in Scheme 6, reaction of $p$-chlorophenyl derivative $\mathbf{2 5}$ with $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ followed by Suzuki-coupling of the triflate derivative with R -substituted boronic acid, the synthesis of which has been
previously described, ${ }^{16}$ and ester hydrolysis and deprotection of the Boc group provided the target compounds $\mathbf{1 2 i}-\mathbf{n}$ as hydrochloride salts.

## Results and Discussion

All compounds were evaluated for ability to produce cAMP in Chinese hamster ovary ( CHO ) cell lines expressing cloned human $\beta 3$ and $\beta 1$-ARs. Selected compounds were also evaluated for human $\beta 2$ activity using a similar method. ${ }^{16}$ The details are described in the Experiment Section. Pharmacokinetic properties of selected compounds were evaluated by cassette dosing assay

## Scheme $5^{a}$



${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 4 \AA$ molecular sieves, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (b) 1 N aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, then $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{AcOEt}$ or dioxane; (c) $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 80 \% \mathrm{NaClO}_{2}, \mathrm{MeCN}$, then $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} /$ dioxane; (d) 1 N aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, then $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, then $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} /$ dioxane; (e) Fe (powder), NH 4 Cl , EtOH , reflux; (f) $35 \% \mathrm{HCHO}, \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (g) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (h) I- $n$ - $\mathrm{Pr}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (i) cyclohexanone or tetrahydro$4 H$-pyran-4-one, $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

Scheme $6^{a}$



in dogs. ${ }^{21}$ The results with reference compound isoproterenol (ISP; nonselective $\beta$-AR agonist) are shown for comparison in Table 1.

To assess the quality of our designed aminotetraline analogues (compound 10) as potent, selective $\beta 3-\mathrm{AR}$ agonists, we first prepared a series of biphenyl ethers with six-membered rings with phenoxyacetic acid in the terminal phenyl ring (Table 1, 10a,b). Although phenoxyacetic acid analogues 10a, 10b showed moderate agonistic activity for the $\beta 3$-AR, we felt that the profile of these compounds was insufficient in terms of
potency for $\beta 3-\mathrm{AR}$. Next, we investigated the effect of modification of the carboxylic acid moiety. Biphenyl ether analogues ( $\mathbf{1 0 c} \mathbf{- f}$ ) having a benzoic acid moiety in both sixand seven-membered rings were prepared and examined. Analogues containing a meta carboxylic acid (10c, 10e) showed improved $\beta 3$-AR activity (10c, $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=7.1 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{1 0 e}, \mathrm{EC}_{50}=$ $4.5 \mathrm{nM})$ relative to phenoxyacetic acid analogues (10a,b), $\mathbf{3}$ and 4. On the other hand, para-position analogues (10d, 10f) resulted in poor potency for $\beta 3$-AR. The seven-membered ring analogue 10e showed somewhat improved potency, although lower

## Scheme $7^{a}$





12a,b,d-f, h
${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{Cbz}-\mathrm{Cl}$, THF, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{pH} 7-8)$; (b) $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2,6$-lutidine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (c) boric acid, $\mathrm{Pd}^{( }\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) 4$, aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO} 2, \mathrm{DME}_{3} 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (e) EtOH , reflux; (f) 1 N aqueous NaOH , EtOH.

## Scheme $\mathbf{8}^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (a) EtOH , reflux, then $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}$, THF; (b) 1 N aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, then $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{AcOEt}$; (c) 1 N aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, then $\mathrm{HCO} 2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux; (d) 4 N HCl /dioxane.

## Scheme $9^{a}$


(a) $\mathrm{Tt}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2,6$-lutidine,
; (b) boric acid, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, $\mathrm{DME}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or dioxane
selectivity over $\beta_{1}$ activity $\left(\mathbf{1 0 e}, \beta_{1} / \beta_{3}=35\right)$ compared to the six-membered ring analogue 10c $\left(\beta_{1} / \beta_{3}>138\right)$. Also, both 10c and 10 e exhibited low activity for the $\beta_{2}$ - $\mathrm{AR}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}>1000\right.$ nM ).
Furthermore, biphenyl ether analogues (10a, 10c, 10e) were evaluated in the in vivo PK assay (cassette dosing assay, po) in dogs. As a baseline, the $C_{\max }$ and AUC ratio value of 10a are presented as 1.0 for comparison in Table 1. The benzoic acid group (six-membered ring analogue 10c) showed a superior $C_{\max }$ and AUC ratio relative to the phenoxyacetic acid analogue 10a. On the other hand, seven-membered ring analogue 10e showed
a low $C_{\text {max }}$ and AUC level relative to 10c. This result (10a vs 10c) in which the benzoic acid moiety may result in improvement of the $C_{\text {max }}$ and AUC level indicated the same trend as we have previously demonstrated. ${ }^{16}$ Actually, the $C_{\max }$ and AUC ratio of compound $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{c}$ displayed a superior level compared with our previous compounds $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}$.
Next, we investigated replacement of the terminal phenyl ring of the biphenyl ether analogues with typical heterocycles. A pyridine analogue containing a $p$-carboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 0 g}$ showed strong $\beta 3$-AR agonistic activity $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=1.4 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ but a lower $\beta 1 / \beta 3$ selectivity $\left(\beta_{1} / \beta_{3}=50\right)$ relative to the biphenyl ether

Scheme 10. General Synthetic Route to Optically Active Epoxides ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) AD-mix- $\beta, t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $\mathrm{TMSCl}, \mathrm{MeC}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, MeOH .

Scheme 11. Preparation of Phenylboronic Acids 33 and $\mathbf{3 6}^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) KOAc, pinacol diborane, $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$, dioxane, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$, acetone, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (c) $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 2,6-lutidine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (d) KOAc, pinacol diborane, $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf}) \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, dioxane, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
analogue 10c. Thiophene analogue $\mathbf{1 0 i}$ showed somewhat increased potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=5.7 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and slightly decreased $\beta 1 /$ $\beta 3$ selectivity $\left(\beta_{1} / \beta_{3}=117\right)$ relative to 10c. These data (10c, $10 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{i})$ suggested that a polar group such as pyridine appeared to influence the activity of $\beta 1$ and $\beta 3-\mathrm{AR}$. In addition, cassette dosing assay of the heterocycle analogues $(\mathbf{1 0 g}, \mathbf{1 0 i})$ resulted in decreased $C_{\text {max }}$ and AUC levels relative to phenyl analogue 10c.

In analogy to the biphenyl ether analogues, a series of biphenyl analogues with six-membered rings $(\mathbf{1 0 j}-\mathbf{p})$ were also synthesized. As seen in Table 1, $p$-phenoxyacetic acid analogue 10k showed good potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=5.8 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and selectivity $\left(\beta_{1} /\right.$ $\beta_{3}>170$ ) relative to the $m$-phenoxyacetic acid analogue $\mathbf{1 0 j}$ $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=10 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. Furthermore, $p$-benzoic acid analogue 10m showed higher potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=2.8 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ relative to $m$-benzoic acid analogue $101\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=29 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and good selectivity for $\beta_{1}$ $\left(\beta_{1} / \beta_{3}>138\right)$ and $\beta_{2}$. However, a seven-membered ring analogue having a $p$-benzoic acid moiety (10n) resulted in dramatically decreased potency for $\beta_{3}$ - $\mathrm{AR}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}>100 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ relative to the six-membered ring analogue $\mathbf{1 0 m}$. In addition, we attempted replacement of the terminal phenyl ring of $\mathbf{1 0 m}$ with pyridine and thiophene. Although a pyridine analogue containing a $p$-carboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ resulted in decreased potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=9.6 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and selectivity for $\beta_{1}\left(\beta_{1} / \beta_{3}=51\right)$, thiophene analogue 10p maintained good potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=2.4 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and $\beta 1 / \beta 3$ selectivity $\left(\beta_{1} / \beta_{3}>417\right)$ relative to $\mathbf{1 0 m}$.

Next, biphenyl analogues $\mathbf{1 0 k}, \mathbf{1 0 m}, \mathbf{1 0 p}$ with good in vitro profiles were evaluated in a cassette dosing assay. As expected, analogue $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{m}$ having a benzoic acid moiety displayed a good $C_{\text {max }}$ and AUC ratio similar to the biphenyl ether analogue 10c. On the other hand, analogue 10k having a phenoxyacetic acid moiety showed lower $C_{\text {max }}$ and AUC revel relative to benzoic acid analogue $\mathbf{1 0 m}$. While thiophene analogue $\mathbf{1 0 p}$ resulted in good $C_{\max }$ and AUC levels, the AUC ratio of $\mathbf{1 0 p}$ showed $2.5-$ fold lower level relative to $\mathbf{1 0 m}$.
In consideration of the SAR study in Table 1, for both the biphenyl ether and biphenyl template, the position of the carboxylic acid moiety is important for $\beta 3-\mathrm{AR}$ activity and selectivity. In addition, the six-membered ring series showed
superior in vitro profiles (potency and selectivity) and PK profiles ( $C_{\max }$ and AUC revel) relative to the seven-membered ring series. Table 2 shows pharmacokinetic data in dogs (cassette dosing assay, po and iv) of selected compounds ( $\mathbf{1 0 c}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{m}$ ). All three biphenyl ether analogues (10c, 10e, 10g) showed good oral bioavailability ( $F>60 \%$ ). In particular, the benzoic acid six-membered ring analogue 10c displayed lower total clearance $(\mathrm{CL}=2.4(\mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}) / \mathrm{kg})$, longer plasma half-life $\left(t_{1 / 2}=7.9 \mathrm{~h}\right)$, and better bioavailability relative to seven-membered ring analogue 10 e and pyridine ring analogue 10 g . Likewise, biphenylbenzoic acid analogue $\mathbf{1 0 m}$ exhibited a superior PK profile with lower total clearance $(\mathrm{CL}=1.2(\mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}) / \mathrm{kg})$, long plasma half-life ( $t_{1 / 2}=12.2 \mathrm{~h}$ ), and good oral bioavailability ( $F=71.4 \%$ ) relative to the corresponding phenoxyacetic acid analogue 10k. In comparison with $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ (see Table 5), both compounds $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ and $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{m}$ displayed an improvement of plasma half-life and lower total clearance and maintained good oral bioavailability. The results of the SAR study and cassette dosing assay led to the generation of two structurally different lead compounds $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 m}$ with a superior balance of potency, selectivity, and pharmacokinetic profile relative to both $\mathbf{3}$ and our previous clinical candidate 4 . Next, we focused our attention on further optimization of these series of biphenyl ether (see Table 3) and biphenyl analogues (see Table 4) to improve $\beta 3$ AR potency.

First, in an effort to improve $\beta 3$-AR potency of the biphenyl ether analogue 10c, we initially investigated the effect of $R^{2}$ substituents on the terminal phenyl ring. Introduction of various substituents ( $\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ) at the 4-position gave biphenyl ether analogues (11a-c). 4-Methoxy analogue 11c maintained potency; however, 11a and 11b had less potency and selectivity for $\beta 1$ than the original compound 10c. Also, 5-methoxy analogue 11d showed somewhat improved potency relative to 10c. Next, we examined some amino substituents at the 5-position. The $5-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ analogue 11e and $5-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Me}_{2}$ analogue 11f displayed increased $\beta 3$ potency $\beta 3\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=3.2 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, and 11f showed good selectivity $(\beta 1 / \beta 3, \beta 2 / \beta 3>312)$ relative to $\mathbf{1 0 c}$. In addition, 5-NH-acetyl analogue $\mathbf{1 1 g}$ showed increased $\beta 3$ potency by about 5.5 -fold $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=1.3 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ relative to $\mathbf{1 c}$ and good selectivity for $\beta 1(\beta 1 / \beta 3=277)$.

Furthermore, compounds $\mathbf{1 1 e}-\mathbf{g}$ were evaluated in a cassette dosing assay. As a result, the $3-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ analogue 11 e and $\mathrm{NH}-$ acetyl analogue $\mathbf{1 1 g}$ had a greatly lowered $C_{\text {max }}$ and AUC ratio relative to the parent compound 11c. On the other hand, $5-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ analogue 11f maintained a good $C_{\text {max }}$ and AUC level, similar to $\mathbf{1 1 c}$. We next tried to replace the acetyl group of $\mathbf{1 1 g}(\mathrm{Clog} P$ $=2.72$ ) with a lipophilic alkyl group to improve potency and the PK properties of $\mathbf{1 1 g}$. The NH- $n-\mathrm{Pr}$ analogue $\mathbf{1 1 h}(\mathrm{Clog} \mathrm{P}$ $=4.31)$ showed improved $\beta 3$ potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.77 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ but slightly lower selectivity $(\beta 1 / \beta 3=224)$. The more lipophilic and bulky cyclohexyl analogue $\mathbf{1 1 i}(\operatorname{Clog} \mathrm{P}=5.28)$ resulted in the same potency for $\beta 3\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.78 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ relative to the $n-\operatorname{Pr}$ analogue 11h while showing increased potency for $\beta 1$ and therefore a lower $\beta 1 / \beta 3$ selectivity $(\beta 1 / \beta 3=88)$ compared with $\mathbf{1 1 h}$. This increased $\beta 1$ activity of $\mathbf{1 1 i}$ is likely due to the high lipophilicity of the cyclohexyl group; therefore, we tried to replace the cyclohexyl group with a tetrahydropyran group to adjust the lipophilicity of 11i. As a result, 5-NH-tetrahydropyran analogue 11j $(\operatorname{Clog} \mathrm{P}=2.88)$ maintained good $\beta 3$ potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right.$ $=1.0 \mathrm{nM})$ and improved selectivity $(\beta 1 / \beta 3=340)$ by about 4 -fold relative to cyclohexyl analogue 11i. However, in the cassette dosing assay, the $C_{\max }$ and AUC levels of $\mathbf{1 1} \mathbf{j}$ showed poor results.

Table 1. SAR of Aminotetraline Analogues


| compd | $n$ | X | Y | R | $\begin{gathered} \text { human } \beta_{3} \mathrm{EC}_{50}, \\ \mathrm{nM}^{a}\left(\mathrm{IA}^{b}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { human } \beta_{1} \mathrm{EC}_{50}, \mathrm{nM}^{a}}}{ }$ | $\beta_{1} / \beta_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { human } \beta_{2} \\ & \mathrm{EC}_{50}, \mathrm{nM}^{a} \end{aligned}$ | cassette assay (po) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $C_{\text {max }}$ ratio $^{\text {d }}$ | AUC ratio ${ }^{e}$ |
| 10a | 1 | O | CH | $m-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $22 \pm 4$ (0.88) | > 100 | >4.5 | NT | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 10b | 1 | O | CH | $p-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $24 \pm 2$ (0.88) | > 100 | >4.2 | NT | NT | NT |
| 10c | 1 | O | CH | $m-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $7.1 \pm 0.05$ (0.89) | > 1000 | >138 | > 1000 | 2.96 | 5.27 |
| 10d | 1 | O | CH | $p-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | 37 (0.74) | > 100 | >2.7 | NT | NT | NT |
| 10e | 2 | O | CH | $m-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $4.5 \pm 0.7$ (0.98) | $160 \pm 30$ | 35 | > 1000 | 0.84 | 1.03 |
| 10 f | 2 | O | CH | $p-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $>100$ | > 100 |  | NT | NT | NT |
| 10 g | 1 | O | N | $p-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $1.47 \pm 0.3$ (1.03) | $70 \pm 7.1$ | 50 | > 1000 | 0.62 | 0.59 |
| 10h | 1 | O | N | $o-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | 49 (0.72) | $>100$ | >2.0 | NT | NT | NT |
| 10i ${ }^{f}$ | 1 | O |  | $-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $5.7 \pm 2$ (0.99) | $670 \pm 96.2$ | 117 | > 1000 | 0.57 | 0.47 |
| 10j | 1 | bond | CH | $m-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $10 \pm 1$ (0.78) | $>100$ | $>10$ | NT | NT | NT |
| 10k | 1 | bond | CH | $p-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $5.8 \pm 0.4$ (0.80) | > 1000 | > 170 | > 1000 | 0.44 | 0.58 |
| 101 | 1 | bond | CH | $m-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | 29 (0.68) | > 100 | >3.4 | NT | NT | NT |
| 10m | 1 | bond | CH | $p-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $2.8 \pm 0.3$ (0.97) | > 1000 | >357 | >1000 | 1.98 | 5.33 |
| 10n | 2 | bond | CH | $p-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | > 100 | > 100 |  | NT | NT | NT |
| 100 | 1 | bond | N | $p-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $9.6 \pm 0.4$ (0.95) | 490 | 51 | NT | NT | NT |
| 10p ${ }^{f}$ | 1 | bond |  | $-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $2.4 \pm 0.06$ (0.95) | > 1000 | >417 | NT | 1.45 | 2.17 |
| $3^{8}$ |  |  |  |  | 39 | 1500 | 38 | > 10000 | NT | NT |
| $4^{g}$ |  |  |  |  | $16 \pm 2.0(0.98)^{h}$ | $>3200{ }^{h}$ | >200 | > 10000 | NT | NT |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | $39 \pm 1$ (0.64) | > 100 | >2.6 | NT | 1.60 | 2.96 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  | $6.7 \pm 0.3$ (0.96) | $280 \pm 40$ | 42 | > 1000 | 1.80 | 3.92 |
| $\mathrm{ISP}^{h}$ |  |  |  |  | $0.97 \pm 0.14$ (1.0) | $0.084 \pm 0.02$ | 0.087 | $2.0 \pm 0.9$ | NT | NT |

${ }^{a}$ The results are shown as the mean $\pm \mathrm{SE}(n \geq 3)$ or presented as the average of two experiments. NT: not tested. ${ }^{b}$ The intrinsic activity (IA) was defined as the ratio of the maximal effect of test compound to the maximal effect produced by isoproterenol $\left(10^{-7} \mathrm{M}\right) .{ }^{c}$ Dose $0.32 \mathrm{or} 0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po $(n=2-3)$. See References for further details. NT: not tested. ${ }^{d}$ The ratio was defined as the $C_{\max }$ of test compounds to the $C_{\max }$ of $\mathbf{1 0 a}$. The ratio value of $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ was presented as 1.0. ${ }^{e}$ The ratio was defined as the AUC of test compounds to the AUC of $\mathbf{1 0 a}$. The ratio value of $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ was presented as 1.0. ${ }^{f}$

${ }^{g}$ Data for the carboxylic acid form. ${ }^{h}$ Results are the mean $\pm$ SE of five experiments.
Table 2. Pharmacokinetic Profiles of Selected Compounds in Dogs ${ }^{a}$

| compd | po |  |  | iv |  |  | $F(\%)^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | dose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) | $C_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL})$ | $\mathrm{AUC}_{0-24 \mathrm{~h}}(\mathrm{ng} \cdot \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{mL})$ | dose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | $T_{1 / 2 \beta}$ (h) | $\mathrm{CL}_{\text {tot }}((\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}) / \mathrm{kg})$ |  |
| 10c | 0.32 | 151.0 | 1998 | 0.1 | 7.9 | 2.4 | 81 |
| 10e | 0.32 | 65.7 | 537.6 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 69 |
| 10g | 0.32 | 49.0 | 319.7 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 10.8 | 61 |
| 10k | 0.2 | 21.8 | 197.2 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 8.3 | 48 |
| 10m | 0.2 | 97.4 | 1983 | 0.1 | 12.2 | 1.2 | 71 |

${ }^{a}$ Cassette assay data. $n=2-3$. The results are presented as the average of two or three experiments. ${ }^{b} F=$ bioavailability.

Next, we investigated replacement of the 3-chlorophenyl ring on the left-hand side (LHS) with 4-chlorophenyl or pyridyl ring groups, as shown in Table 3.4-Chlorophenyl ring analogues 11k, 111 resulted in slightly improved potency relative to 3 -chlorophenyl ring analogues ( $\mathbf{1 0 c}, 11 \mathrm{c}$ ), and 4-methoxy analogue 111 showed superior selectivity ( $\beta 1, \beta 2 ; \mathrm{EC}_{50}>1000$ $\mathrm{nM}, \beta 1, \beta 2 / \beta 3>217$ ) relative to nonsubstituted analogue 11 k . Nonsubstituted pyridyl ring analogue 11 m showed decreased potency for $\beta 3$ relative to $\mathbf{1 0 c}$. On the other hand, the 4-chloropyridyl ring derivatives (11n, 110) also had improved potency relative to 10c, and 4-methoxy analogue 110 exhibited higher selectivity for $\beta 1\left(\beta 1, \beta 2 ; \mathrm{EC}_{50}>1000 \mathrm{nM}, \beta 1, \beta 2 / \beta 3\right.$ $>277$ ) relative to 11 n . In addition, the $5-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ substituted 4-chloropyridyl ring analogue 11p showed significantly increased potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=1.8 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ but lower selectivity relative to the 4 -methoxy analogue 110. Therefore, 4 -methoxy analogues 111 and 110 with good selectivity for $\beta 1$ and $\beta 2$ were evaluated in the cassette dosing assay. The 4 -chlorophenyl ring analogue 111 showed slightly decreased $C_{\max }$ and AUC ratio relative to the lead compound 10c. The 4 -chloropyridyl ring analogue $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ also showed acceptable $C_{\max }$ and AUC levels.

Second, as can be seen in Table 4, in an effort to improve the $\beta 3$-AR potency of biphenyl analogue $\mathbf{1 0 m}$, we initially attempted to modify the LHS because this modification in the biphenyl ether series in Table 3 resulted in improved potency for $\beta 3$. Shift of the chloro group to the 2-position (12a) led to a substantial loss of potency. On the other hand, 4 -chlorophenyl analogue 12b resulted in 5.5 -fold increased potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=\right.$ 0.38 nM ) for $\beta 3$ relative to 3 -chlorophenyl analogue $\mathbf{1 0 m}$ and high selectivity for $\beta 1$ and $\beta 2(\beta 1 / \beta 3=2413, \beta 2 / \beta 3>2630)$. On the basis of this result, we investigated replacement of chloro group with other substituents at the 4 -position. As a result, the methyl analogue (12c) had 2 -fold less potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.79\right.$ nM ) for $\beta 3$ relative to the chloro analogue 12b, while the CN (12d) and $\mathrm{CF}_{3}(\mathbf{1 2 e})$ analogues showed decreased potency relative to 12b. 3,4-Dichloro analogue $\mathbf{1 2 f}$ was unfavorable for $\beta 3$ agonistic activity relative to monochloro analogues $\mathbf{1 0 m}$ and 12b. In addition, we attempted replacement of the phenyl ring with a pyridine ring. Nonsubstituted pyridyl ring analogue $\mathbf{1 2 g}$ showed decreased $\beta 3$ potency relative to the lead compound $\mathbf{1 0 m}$. The 4 -chloropyridyl ring analogue $\mathbf{1 2 h}$, as expected, showed increased potency relative to $\mathbf{1 2 g}$, although in com-

Table 3. SAR of Biphenyl Ether Analogues

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compd | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | X | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { human } \beta_{3} \\ \mathrm{EC}_{50}, \mathrm{nM}^{a} \\ \left(\mathrm{IA}^{\prime \prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { human } \\ \beta_{1} \\ \mathrm{EC}_{5 i b}, \mathrm{nM}^{a} \end{gathered}$ | $\beta_{1} / \beta_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { human } \\ & \beta_{2} \\ & \mathrm{EC}_{50}, \\ & \mathrm{nM}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | cassette <br> Cmax <br> Ratio ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\text { ay }(\mathrm{po})^{c}$ <br> AUC <br> Ratio" |
| 10c | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | H | $\begin{gathered} 7.1 \pm 0.05 \\ (0.89) \end{gathered}$ | $>1000$ | $>138$ | $>1000$ | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| $11 \mathbf{a}$ | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | 4-Me | $\begin{gathered} 9.0 \pm 2 \\ (0.91) \end{gathered}$ | $>1000$ | $>111$ | NT | NT | NT |
| 11 b | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \pm 2 \\ (0.95) \end{array}$ | $>1000$ | $>62$ | NT | NT | NT |
| 11c | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | 4-OMe | $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \pm 1 \\ (0.97) \end{gathered}$ | $>1000$ | > 166 | $>1000$ | NT | NT |
| 11 d | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | $5-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \pm 0.1 \\ (0.95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 890 \pm \\ 60.7 \end{gathered}$ | 168 | $>1000$ | NT | NT |
| 11e | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | 5-NH2 | $\begin{gathered} 3.2 \pm 0.2 \\ (0.98) \end{gathered}$ | $480 \pm 60$ | 150 | NT | 0.26 | 0.20 |
| 11 f | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | $5-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.2 \pm 0.7 \\ (0.91) \end{gathered}$ | $>1000$ | $>312$ | $>1000$ | 1.18 | 0.83 |
| 11g | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | 5-NH-Ac | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \pm 0.05 \\ (0.92) \end{gathered}$ | $360 \pm 98$ | 277 | NT | 0.1 | 0.06 |
| 11h | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | 5-NH-n-Pr | $\begin{gathered} 0.77 \pm 0.03 \\ (1.04) \end{gathered}$ | $173 \pm 33$ | 224 | NT | NT | NT |
| 11i | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | 5-NH-c-Hex | $\begin{gathered} 0.78 \pm 0.1 \\ (0.98) \end{gathered}$ | $69 \pm 8.9$ | 88 | NT | NT | NT |
| 11j | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \pm 0.05 \\ (0.95) \end{gathered}$ | $340 \pm 86$ | 340 | NT | 0.06 | 0.02 |
| 11 k | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | H | $\begin{gathered} 4.8 \pm 0.3 \\ (0.98) \end{gathered}$ | $330 \pm 15$ | 69 | NT | NT | NT |
| 111 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | 4-OMe | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \pm 0.6 \\ (0.90) \end{gathered}$ | $>1000$ | $>217$ | $>1000$ | 0.64 | 0.76 |
| 11 m | H | N | H | $\begin{gathered} 20 \pm 4 \\ (0.92) \end{gathered}$ | $>100$ | $>5$ | NT | 0.50 | 0.32 |
| $11 n$ | 4-Cl | N | H | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \pm 0.2 \\ (0.90) \end{gathered}$ | 140 | 34 | NT | NT | NT |
| 110 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | N | 4-OMe | $\begin{gathered} 3.6 \pm 0.3 \\ (0.89) \end{gathered}$ | $>1000$ | $>277$ | $>1000$ | 0.50 | 0.48 |
| 11 p | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | N | $5-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \pm 0.5 \\ (0.88) \end{gathered}$ | $270 \pm 38$ | 155 | NT | NT | NT |

${ }^{a}$ The results are shown as the mean $\pm \mathrm{SE}(n=3)$ or presented as the average of two experiments. ${ }^{b}$ The intrinsic activity (IA) was defined as the ratio of the maximal effect of test compound to the maximal effect produced by isoproterenol $\left(10^{-7} \mathrm{M}\right)$. ${ }^{c}$ Dose 0.10 or $0.20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po $(n=2-$ 3). ${ }^{d}$ The $C_{\max }$ ratio was defined as the $C_{\max }$ of test compounds to the $C_{\max }$ of $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{c}$, where the ratio value of $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{c}$ was presented as 1.0 . The AUC ratio was defined as the AUC of test compounds to the AUC of $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{c}$, where the ratio value of $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{c}$ was presented as 1.0 .
parison with 12b, it exhibited a somewhat inferior in vitro profile (potency and selectivity). Furthermore, we examined the effect of $\mathrm{R}^{2}$-substituents at the 2,3 -position at the terminal phenyl ring of $\mathbf{1 2 b}$, since the 4 -chloro analogue $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ showed the best profile of potency and selectivity among $\mathbf{1 2 a}-\mathbf{h}$. We introduced some substituents ( $2-\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ) to give biphenyl analogues $(\mathbf{1 2 i} \mathbf{- k})$. The 2-F and $2-\mathrm{OMe}$ analogues $\mathbf{1 2 j}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 k}$ displayed good potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.81\right.$ and 0.78 nM , respectively) and good selectivity but decreased potency ( $\sim 2$-fold) relative to 12b. In addition, our previous report had shown for a different biphenyl series that replacement of the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$-substituents ( $\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{F}$ ) at the 2-position with an $\mathrm{O}-i-\mathrm{Pr}$ group provided improvement in potency and selectivity. This modification was incorporated into the current series at the $2-\mathrm{R}^{2}$-substituent. However, $\mathrm{O}-i-\mathrm{Pr}$ analogue $\mathbf{1 2 I}$ showed maintained potency for $\beta 3\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.70\right.$ nM ) and somewhat decreased selectivity relative to $\mathbf{1 2 j}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 k}$. Finally, we examined the effect of $\mathrm{R}^{2}$-substituents (Me, F) at the 3 -position in $\mathbf{1 2 b}$. The $3-\mathrm{Me}$ and $3-\mathrm{F}$ analogues $\mathbf{1 2 m}$ and

12n showed lower potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=2.0\right.$ and 1.4, respectively $)$ relative to 12b.

Next, we selected compounds ( $\mathbf{1 2 b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}$ ) in Table 4, which exhibited good in vitro profiles, and evaluated them in a cassette dosing assay. The 4 -chlorophenyl ring analogue 12b showed somewhat a decreased $C_{\max }$ ratio and improved AUC ratio relative to the 3 -chloro analogue $\mathbf{1 0 m}$. The methyl analogue 12c showed slightly decreased AUC ratio relative to $\mathbf{1 0 m}$. On the other hand, 4 -chloropyridyl ring analogue $\mathbf{1 2 h}$ showed decreased $C_{\text {max }}$ and AUC levels ( 0.42 -fold less). The $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ substituented analogues $\mathbf{1 2 k}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=2-\mathrm{OMe}, 3-\mathrm{Me}, 3-\mathrm{F}\right)$ were also evaluated. The 3-fluoro analogue 12n displayed a good $C_{\max }$ ratio relative to $\mathbf{1 2 k}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 m}$ and the same AUC ratio relative to $\mathbf{1 0 m}$. As a result of the SAR study in Table 4, the 4-chlorophenyl ring analogue 12b displayed the best profile of potency, selectivity, and oral exposure.

After SAR examination and study in the cassette dosing assay, we selected 11f, 111, and $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ in Table 3 and 12b in Table 4 as

Table 4. SAR of Biphenyl Analogues


| compd | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | X | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { human } \beta_{3} \mathrm{EC}_{50} \\ \mathrm{nM}^{a}\left(\mathrm{IA}^{b}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { human } \beta_{1} \mathrm{EC}_{50}, \\ \mathrm{nM}^{a} \end{gathered}$ | $\beta_{1} / \beta_{3}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{nM}^{a}}{\text { human } \beta_{2} \mathrm{EC}_{50},}$ | cassette assay (po) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} C_{\max } \\ \text { ratio }^{d} \end{gathered}$ | AUC ratio ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 10m | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | H | $2.8 \pm 0.3$ (0.97) | >100 | $>4.5$ | NT | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 12a | $2-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | H | 38 (0.78) | 620 | 16.3 | NT | NT | NT |
| 12b | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | H | $0.38 \pm 0.02$ (1.02) | $917 \pm 83$ | 2413 | > 1000 | 0.71 | 1.25 |
| 12c | 4-Me | CH | H | $0.79 \pm 0.02$ (1.04) | $>1000$ | > 1265 | NT | 1.06 | 0.77 |
| 12d | $4-\mathrm{CN}$ | CH | H | 28 (0.78) | > 1000 | > 36 | NT | NT | NT |
| 12e | $4-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | CH | H | $3.5 \pm 0.5(0.91)$ | 775 | 221 | > 1000 | NT | NT |
| 12 f | 3,4-di-Cl | CH | H | 33 (0.81) | > 1000 | >30 | NT | NT | NT |
| 12g | H | N | H | 13 (0.82) | > 1000 | > 77 | NT | NT | NT |
| 12h | 4-Cl | N | H | $0.85 \pm 0.03$ (0.80) | 200 | > 1265 | > 1000 | 0.73 | 0.53 |
| 12i | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | 2-Me | 1.8 (0.92) | 825 | 458 | NT | NT | NT |
| 12j | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | 2-F | $0.81 \pm 0.06$ (0.93) | $650 \pm 86$ | 802 | NT | NT | NT |
| 12k | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | $2-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $0.78 \pm 0.02$ (0.95) | > 1000 | > 1282 | NT | 0.62 | 0.53 |
| 121 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | $2-\mathrm{O}-i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | $0.70 \pm 0.04$ (0.93) | 310 | 443 | NT | NT | NT |
| 12m | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | 3-Me | 2.0 (0.87) | 800 | 400 | NT | 0.72 | 0.64 |
| 12n | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | CH | 3-F | $1.4 \pm 0.3$ (0.84) | 980 | 700 | NT | 1.13 | 1.01 |

${ }^{a}$ The results are shown as the mean $\pm \mathrm{SE}(n=3)$ or presented as the average of two experiments. NT: not tested. ${ }^{b}$ The intrinsic activity (IA) was defined as the ratio of the maximal effect of test compound to the maximal effect produced by isoproterenol $\left(10^{-7} \mathrm{M}\right) .{ }^{c}$ Dose 0.10 or $0.20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po $(n=2-3)$. NT: not tested. ${ }^{d}$ The ratio was defined as the $C_{\max }$ of the of test compounds to the $C_{\max }$ of $\mathbf{1 0 m}$. The ratio value of $\mathbf{1 0 m}$ was presented as 1.0 . ${ }^{e}$ The ratio was defined as the AUC of test compounds to the AUC of $\mathbf{1 0 m}$. The ratio value of $\mathbf{1 0 m}$ was presented as 1.0.

Table 5. Pharmacokinetic Profiles of Selected Compounds ${ }^{a}$

| compd | species | po ( $n=2-3$ ) |  |  | iv ( $n=2-3$ ) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} F \\ (\%)^{b} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { dose } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C_{\max } \\ (\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AUC} \mathrm{C}_{0-24 \mathrm{~h}} \\ & (\mathrm{ng} \cdot \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{mL}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { dose } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})^{g} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & T_{1 / 2 \beta} \\ & (\mathrm{~h})^{g} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CL}_{\text {tot }} \\ ((\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}) / \mathrm{kg})^{g} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $11{ }^{c}$ | dog | 0.20 | $189 \pm 25$ | $1467 \pm 170$ | 0.10 | 3.94 | 2.38 | >95 |
| $11{ }^{\text {c }}$ | rat | 0.52 | $367 \pm 0.5$ | $4584 \pm 616$ | 0.50 | 11.9 | 2.0 | $>95$ |
|  | dog | 0.21 | $103 \pm 2.5$ | $1530 \pm 72$ | 0.10 | $8.3 \pm 0.4$ | $1.4 \pm 0.1$ | 64.2 |
|  | monkey | 1.0 | $319 \pm 51$ | $1562 \pm 212$ | 0.32 | $6.7 \pm 0.7$ | $5.3 \pm 0.7$ | 48.0 |
| $110^{c}$ | rat | 1.0 | $164 \pm 0.5$ | $1937 \pm 552$ | 0.51 | 15.3 | 2.5 | 27.3 |
|  | dog | 0.2 | 64.4 | 860.1 | 0.1 | $5.9 \pm 1.0$ | $3.1 \pm 0.1$ | 76.8 |
|  | monkey | 1.0 | $59 \pm 3.3$ | $313 \pm 44$ | 0.32 | $8.2 \pm 0.3$ | $15.7 \pm 1.5$ | 28.8 |
| $12 b^{c}$ | rat | 0.5 | $65 \pm 26$ | $470 \pm 107$ | 0.50 | 13.6 | 11.4 | 63.4 |
|  | dog | 0.2 | $113 \pm 1.8$ | $1980 \pm 37$ | 0.10 | $14.3 \pm 1.6$ | $1.7 \pm 0.1$ | >95 |
|  | monkey | 0.32 | $91.2 \pm 14$ | $722 \pm 144$ | 0.32 | $7.7 \pm 0.4$ | $6.7 \pm 1.3$ | 81.7 |
| $3^{\text {d }}$ | rat | 1.0 | $28.1 \pm 7.7$ | $177 \pm 14$ | 0.32 | 1.18 | 52.6 | 60.0 |
|  | $\operatorname{dog}^{\text {c }}$ | 0.2 | $92.5 \pm 14$ | $902 \pm 99$ | 0.12 | $3.8 \pm 0.2$ | $3.0 \pm 0.5$ | 83.2 |
| $4^{d}$ | rat | 1.0 | 38 | 83 | $0.83{ }^{e}$ | 0.3 | 47.9 | 29 |
|  | dog | 3.2 | 2070 | 10600 | $0.83{ }^{e}$ | 1.63 | 3.7 | 73 |
|  | monkey | 1.0 | $184 \pm 22$ | $398 \pm 46$ | $0.83{ }^{e}$ | $2.28 \pm 0.45$ | $11.8 \pm 0.8$ | 35 |
|  | human ${ }^{f}$ | 1.0 | $1340 \pm 300$ | $4100 \pm 800$ | NT | NT | NT |  |

${ }^{a}$ The results are shown as the mean $\pm \mathrm{SE}(n=3)$ or presented as the average of two experiments. ${ }^{b} F=$ bioavailability. ${ }^{c}$ Cassette assay data. ${ }^{d}$ All parameters were calculated from the mean plasma concentration of the carboxylic acid form of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$. See ref 22 . ${ }^{e}$ The dose of $0.83 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ the carboxylic acid form of 4 was equivalent to $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} 4 .{ }^{f}$ The results are shown as the mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}(n=8) .{ }^{g} \mathrm{NT}$ : not tested.
attractive compounds, since these compounds exhibited greater $\beta 3$ potency relative to the corresponding lead compounds (10c or $\mathbf{1 0 m}$ ), high selectivity over $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$, and good oral exposure. Table 5 shows the pharmacokinetic profiles in dog, rat, and monkey. The biphenyl ether analogue having a $5-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ group 11f showed excellent oral bioavailability in $\operatorname{dog}(F>95 \%)$, while the plasma half-life ( $t_{1 / 2}=3.9 \mathrm{~h}$ ) was somewhat decreased relative to the lead compound 10c (shown in Table 2). The 4 -chlorophenyl ring analogue containing a 2 -OMe group 111 displayed low total clearance (CL, rats, $2.0(\mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}) / \mathrm{kg}$; dogs, $1.4(\mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}) / \mathrm{kg}$; monkeys, $5.3(\mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}) / \mathrm{kg}$ ), long plasma halflife ( $t_{1 / 2}$, iv, rats, 11.9 h ; dogs, 8.3 h ; monkeys, 6.7 h ) and good oral bioavailability (rats, $F>95 \%$; dogs, $F=64 \%$; monkeys, $F=48 \%$ ) in all three species. On the other hand, the 4-chloropyridyl ring analogue 110 displayed a good pharmacokinetic profile $\left(F=76.8 \%, \mathrm{CL}=3.1(\mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}) / \mathrm{kg}, t_{1 / 2}=\right.$ 5.9 h) in dog and showed moderate oral bioavailability (rats, $F$
$=27 \%$; monkeys, $F=29 \%$ ) and long plasma half-life in rats ( 11.4 h ) and monkeys ( 6.7 h ). Next, biphenyl analogue 12b having a 4 -chlorophenyl ring on the LHS displayed good oral bioavailability in all three species ( $F>63 \%$ ), and a long plasma half-life in dog ( 14.3 h ), rat ( 13.6 h ), and monkey ( 7.7 h ). Compound 12b provided a superior pharmacokinetic profile relative to both $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ in all two or three species.
Next, we examined the inhibitory effect of selected compounds ( $\mathbf{( 1 1 0}, \mathbf{1 2 b}$ ) on carbachol-induced increase of intravesical pressure (IVP) in anesthetized dogs as an OAB model, ${ }^{16}$ in comparison with the effects of our previous clinical compound 4. Before conducting in vivo experiments, we confirmed the in vitro potency of these compounds to not only human $\beta 3$-AR but also dog $\beta 3$-AR activity in CHO cell lines, as shown in Table 6. In general, these tetraline analogues display some species differences between human and dog $\beta 3$-AR activity, and the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values for the dog $\beta 3$-AR of compounds 110 and

Table 6. Inhibitory Effect on Intravenous Administration of Selected Compounds (110, 12b) and 4 on Increase in IVP (Intravesical Pressure), Induced by Carbachol in Anesthetized Dogs ${ }^{a}$

| compd | in vitro |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { human } \beta_{3} \\ & \mathrm{EC}_{50}, \mathrm{nM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{dog} \beta_{3} \\ \mathrm{EC}_{50}, \mathrm{nM} \end{gathered}$ | in vivo <br> \% inhibition (dose $32 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) | dog serum protein binding, \% | ClogP ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| control |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| 4 | $16 \pm 2.0^{b, c}$ | $30 \pm 9.0^{\text {b,c }}$ | $40.8 \pm 2.6^{b}$ | $94^{b}$ |  |
| 110 | $3.6 \pm 0.3$ | 46 | 57 | 90 | 1.7 |
| 12b | $0.38 \pm 0.02$ | 25 | 33 | 97 | 3.2 |

${ }^{a}$ The results are shown as the mean $\pm$ SE $(n=3)$ or presented as the average of two experiments. ${ }^{b}$ Data for the carboxylic acid form of $4 .{ }^{c}$ Results are the mean $\pm$ SE of five experiments. ${ }^{d}$ Biobyte CLOGP, version 4.3.

12b showed significantly reduced potency (110, 12.8 -fold; 12b, 65.8 -fold) relative to human $\beta 3$-AR activity. Compound 12b showed the same potency level, and compound $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ showed less potent dog $\beta 3$-AR activity relative to 4 . In the in vivo experiment, when intravenously (iv) administered, these compounds inhibited IVP increase at a dose of $32 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Table 6). The 4-chloropyridyl analogue $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ resulted in some improvement in inhibition \% value, due to lower protein binding (110, Clog P $=1.7, \mathrm{~PB}=90 \%)$ relative to $4(\mathrm{~PB}=94 \%)$. On the other hand, 4 -chlorophenyl analogue 12b, having comparable in vitro $\operatorname{dog} \beta 3$-AR activity relative to $\mathbf{4}$, showed a decreased inhibition $\%$ value due to higher protein binding ( $\mathbf{1 2 b}, \mathrm{Clog} \mathrm{P}=3.2, \mathrm{~PB}$ $=97 \%$ ) relative to $\mathbf{4}$. However, compound 12b displays higher human $\beta 3$-AR activity ( 42 -fold) compared to our previous clinical compound 4 and therefore may be an attractive candidate for the treatment of OAB.

## Conclusions

Incorporation of the biphenyl ether or biphenyl template with a benzoic acid moiety on the RHS in $\mathbf{3}$ or $\mathbf{4}$ afforded two structurally different lead compounds (10c, 10m) with improved $\beta 3$ potency and plasma half-life relative to $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$, without the prodrug form. Importantly, our results suggested that the benzoic acid moiety on the RHS of either biphenyl ether and biphenyl analogues is essential for not only potency and selectivity but also the good pharmacokinetic properties of our current tetraline series, similar to our previous series. Next, in Tables 3 and 4, we investigated the effect of substituents on the terminal phenyl ring in the RHS and the replacement of the 3-chlorophenyl ring in the LHS of lead compounds $(\mathbf{1 0 c}, \mathbf{1 0 m})$. As a result, biphenyl ether analogues (11f, 111, 110) with a superior balance of potency, selectivity, and pharmacokinetic profiles, compared with 3 and our previous clinical candidate 4, were identified and selected as the leading candidates. Furthermore, biphenyl analogue 12b provided an excellent balance of high potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.38 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, selectivity, and good pharmacokinetic properties ( $F>60 \%, t_{1 / 2}>7 \mathrm{~h}$ in three species). In addition, compound 12b, containing a 4-chlorophenylethanolaminotetraline skeleton, was prepared by high stereoselective synthesis of the two chiral centers. These findings suggest that these compounds ( $\mathbf{1 1 f}, \mathbf{1 1 1}, \mathbf{1 1 0}, \mathbf{1 2 b}$ ) had the good profiles and may be potential candidates for the treatment of OAB.

## Experimental Section

Chemistry. General Methods. Reactions involving air- or moisture-sensitive reagents were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere. If not specified, reactions were carried out at ambient temperature. Silica gel (Kanto Chemical, 63-210 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) was used for chromatographic purification unless otherwise indicated. Anhydrous solvents were obtained from commercial sources. Proton NMR spectra were recorded on a Brucker BIOSPIN AVANCE400 or DPX200. Values in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane are given. The following abbreviations are used to describe peak patterns when
appropriate: $\mathrm{b}=$ broad, $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $m=$ multiplet. High resolution mass spectra were recorded with Micromass LCT. Chemical purity was given by HPLC analysis with a Shiseido Capcell pack C18 column (detection at 254 nm ). Results of elemental analysis were recorded with Perkin-Elmer 2400 II and were within $0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values calculated for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, and N unless otherwise noted.
$N$-[(2S)-7-Methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl]benzamide (15). To a mixture of 7-methoxy-3,4-dihydronaphthalen$2(1 \mathrm{H})$-one sodium hydrogen carbonate $13(20 \mathrm{~g}, 71.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 150 mL ) was added $3 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(88 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h . The mixture was partitioned between toluene and water. The organic layer was separated, washed with water, and concentrated in vacuo to give 7-methoxy-3,4-dihydronaphthalen- $2(1 \mathrm{H})$-one $(11.69 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%)$. To the product ( 10.76 $\mathrm{g}, 60.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 60 mL ) was added benzamide ( 14.67 $\mathrm{g}, 121.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and Amberlyst 15E ( 6.8 g ), and the mixture was refluxed for 5 h with continuous removal of water using a Dean-Stark trap. To the reaction mixture was added MeOH (30 mL ) at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was cooled to room temperature. The mixture was filtered, and the residue of Amberlyst was washed with toluene $-\mathrm{MeOH}(1: 1)$. The combined solution was evaporated under reduced pressure. To the crude yellow solid was added MeOH , and the mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The slurry was cooled to room temperature for 1 h , filtered, and washed with MeOH . After the sample was dried at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in vacuo, $7.54 \mathrm{~g}(41 \%)$ of the enamide $\mathbf{1 4}$ was obtained as a yellow solid.

A solution enamide $14(5.55 \mathrm{~g}, 19.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})-(S)$ SEGPOS ( 0.0199 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(22 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(22 \mathrm{~mL})$ was deoxygenated using $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and charged into a stirred autoclave. The autoclave was pressurized with 30 atm of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and stirred at 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h . The solution was evaporated under reduced pressure. To the crude product was added $\mathrm{MeOH}(55 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h . The slurry was cooled to room temperature for 3 h , filtered, and washed with cold MeOH . After the sample was dried at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in vacuo for $5 \mathrm{~h}, 3.95 \mathrm{~g}$ ( $74 \%$ ) of the title compound was obtained as colorless crystals, mp 164.6 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ES) $m / e: 282(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.7-1.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.0-2.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-2.8(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.9-3.0$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.1-4.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.6-6.7(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.01(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.44-7.55(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.86-7.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.40(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). The optical purity was determined as $99.6 \%$ ee, given by HPLC analysis with two connected DAICELL Chiralcel OD-H columns ( 4.6 mm i.d. $\times 25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) eluted with hexane $/ 2$-propanol ( $70: 30,0.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Detection at 215 nm light; $t_{\mathrm{R}}(R$ isomer $)=24.26 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}(S$ isomer $)=26.55 \mathrm{~min}$.
(2S)-N-Benzyl-7-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2amine (16). To a suspension of $15(88.0 \mathrm{~g}, 313 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 500 mL ) was added $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ in THF solution ( 380 mL ) dropwise at approximately $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 40 min under nitrogen atomosphere. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and refluxed for 4 h . To the mixture was added 6 N HCl $(135 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise at approximately $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was refluxed for 1.5 h , and the solvent was removed. To the mixture, 3 N NaOH $(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise below $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{pH} \approx 11)$. The mixture was partitioned between EtOAc and water. The organic layer was separated, washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated
under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (chloroform/methanol $=97: 3$ to 95 : 5) to give 83.8 g ( $100 \%$ ) of the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.4-1.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.8-2.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.4-3.0$ $(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.68(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), 6.6-6.7 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $6.94(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.19-7.38(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.
(7S)-7-(Benzylamino)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-ol (17). To a solution of $\mathbf{1 6}(90.2 \mathrm{~g}, 337 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 600 mL ) was added $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(420 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 1 h under nitrogen atomosphere. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred over 2.5 h at same temperature. To the mixture was added 200 mL of cold water and 5 N NaOH (220 mL ) dropwise at approximately $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then added saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(500 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated and washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(500 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (chloroform/methanol) to give 32.2 g ( $50.6 \%$ ) of the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.3-1.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.9-2.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.3-2.95(5 \mathrm{H}$, m), $3.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 6.4-6.5(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.19-7.38 (5H, m), $8.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} s)$. MS (ES) m/e: $254(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
(7S)-7-Amino-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-ol (18). A mixture of $\mathbf{1 7}(7.0 \mathrm{~g}, 23.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ was hydrogenated over palladium on carbon ( $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 50 \%$ wet, 700 mg ) under hydrogen atmosphere for 2 h . The catalyst was filtered off, and the filtrate was evaporated to give $3.84 \mathrm{~g}(100 \%)$ of the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.5-1.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.8-2.3(2 \mathrm{H}$, br), $1.9-2.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.4-2.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.0(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.1-3.25$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.52-6.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2$ Hz ). MS (ES) $m / e: 164(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
tert-Butyl (2R)-2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl[(2S)-7-hy-droxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl]carbamate (25). Typical Procedure A. A solution of $\mathbf{1 8}(11.2 \mathrm{~g}, 68.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ( $2 R$ )-2-(4-chlorophenyl)oxirane 22 ( $9.02 \mathrm{~g}, 58.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol ( 100 mL ) was refluxed for 18 h . The mixture was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (chloroform/methanol $=97: 3$ ) to give $9.74 \mathrm{~g}(44.7 \%)$ of $(7 S)-7$ -\{[(2R)-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino $\}$-5,6,7,8-tetrahy-dro-2-naphthalenol. MS (ES) m/e: $318(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

To a mixture of the obtained product $(9.7 \mathrm{~g}, 30.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (6.7 $\mathrm{g}, 30.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 12 h . The resulting mixture was evaporated under pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate $=2 / 1$ ) to give 12.2 g $(95.3 \%)$ of the title compound as a colorless form. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.52(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.7-1.9(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.0(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.2-3.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.4-3.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.0-4.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.8-5.0(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 5.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 6.4-6.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.2-7.4$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. MS (ES) m/e: $418(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
tert-Butyl [(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl][(2S)-7-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl]carbamate (24). The title compound was synthesized from 18 and ( $2 R$ )-2-(3-chlorophenyl)oxirane 21 according to procedure A (40.5\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.51(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.7-1.9(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.0(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.2-3.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.4-3.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.0-4.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.7-4.9(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 6.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 6.5-6.6(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.3-7.5(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES) $m / e: 440(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.
tert-Butyl [(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl][(6S)-3-hydroxy-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-5H-benzo[7]annulen-6-yl]carbamate (26). The title compound was synthesized from ( $8 S$ )-8-amino-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-5 H -benzo[7]annulen-2-ol 20 and (2R)-2-(3chlorophenyl)oxirane 21 according to procedure A (38.4\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.50(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.4-2.0(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.6-2.8$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.1-3.5(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.8-5.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 6.58$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.26(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) m / e:$ $454(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.
tert-Butyl (2R)-2-(6-Chloro-3-pyridinyl)-2-hydroxyethyl[(2S)-7-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl]carbamate (27). The title compound was synthesized from 18 and 2-chloro-5-[(2R)-oxiran-2-yllpyridine 23 according to procedure A (47.7\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.51(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.6-1.9(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-2.9(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 3.2-3.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.4-3.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.0-4.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.8-5.0$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 6.5-6.7(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.34$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$. MS (ES) m/e: $419(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

3-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]-tert-bu-tyloxycarbonylamino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (29a). Typical Procedure B. To a mixture of $24(400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichlorometane ( 10 mL ) and triethylamine ( 1 mL ) were added (3-methoxycarbonylphenyl)boric acid ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 2.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and copper acetate ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 2.20$ mmol ) and $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 1 g ) at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 12 h . The resulting mixture was filtered by Celite, and the mother layer was evaporated under pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ) to give $240 \mathrm{mg}(44 \%)$ of the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.51(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.7-1.9(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.0(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.2-3.4(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $3.4-3.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.0-4.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.8-5.0(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $6.6-6.9(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.1-7.8(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. MS (ES) m/e: $574(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.

3-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]benzoic Acid Hydrochloride (10c). Typical Procedure C. To a solution of the above coupling product 29a ( $240 \mathrm{mg}, 0.434 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 3 mL ) was added 1 N sodium hydroxide ( 1.5 mL ) at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 12 h . The resulting mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with a mixture of ethyl acetate or chloroform $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the organic layer was washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The obtained benzoic acid was diluted with 4 N hydrogen chloride in dioxane or ethyl acetate ( 10 mL ), and the mixture was allowed to keep at room temperature for 4 h . The mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure and the obtained solid was washed with ether to give $100 \mathrm{mg}(50 \%)$ of the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta ; 1.7-2,0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.1-2.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.5(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.0-5.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.4(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$, $6.8-7.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.1-7.8(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. MS (ES) m/e: $438(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]-tert-bu-tyloxycarbonylamino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (29b). The title compound was synthesized from 24 and (4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)boric acid according to procedure $\mathrm{B}(49 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $1.51(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.7-1.9(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.0(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.2-3.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.4-3.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.0-4.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.8-5.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $6.7-7.3(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$. MS (ES) $m / e: 574(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.

4-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]benzoic Acid Hydrochloride (10d). The title compound was synthesized from 29b according to procedure $\mathrm{C}(49 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.7-2,0$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.1-2.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.5(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.0-5.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.4$ (br s), 6.7-6.9 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $6.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.2-7.5(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES})$ $m / e: 438(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N .
\{3-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]-tert-bu-tyloxycarbonylamino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]-phenoxy)-tert-butyldimethylsilane (28a). The title compound was synthesized from 24 and (3-\{[tert-butyl(dimethyl)silyl]oxy\}phenyl)boronic acid according to procedure B ( $59 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.17(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.95(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.51(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.7-1.9$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.0(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.2-3.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.4-3.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $4.0-4.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.8-5.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.4-6.9(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.0-7.5(6 \mathrm{H}$, m). MS (ES) m/e: $646(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.
\{3-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]phenoxy\}acetic Acid Hydrochloride (10a). To a solution of $\mathbf{2 8 a}(600 \mathrm{mg}, 0.961 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added tetrabutylammonium fluoride ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF) at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred for 3 h . The mixture was poured into water and ethyl acetate, and the organic layer was washed with 1 N HCl and brine and then dried over magnesium sulfate. After filtration, the solvent was evaporated, the residue was diluted in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. To the solution were added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(240 \mathrm{mg}, 1.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bromoethylacetate $(0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred for 4 h . The mixture was poured into water and ethyl acetate, and the organic layer was washed with 1 N HCl and brine and then dried over magnesium sulfate. After filtration, the solvent was evaporated, and the obtained residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate $=2 / 1)$ to give $450 \mathrm{mg}(72 \%)$ of $\{3-[((7 S)-7-\{[(2 R)-2-(3-$ chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]-tert-butyloxycarbonylamino $\}-5,6,7,8-$ tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]phenoxy \}acetic acid ethy ester. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.25(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.51(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.7-1.9(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.0(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.2-3.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.4-3.7(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 4.0-4.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $4.8-5.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.5-6.9(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.0-7.5(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. MS (ES) $m / e: 618(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.

The title compound was synthesized from the obtained product according to procedure $\mathrm{C}(83 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.7-2.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.2-2.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.6-3.6(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.65(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), $5.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.5-6.8(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.0-7.6(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 8.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 9.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. MS (ES) m/e: $468(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
\{4-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]-tert-bu-tyloxycarbonylamino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]phenoxy $\}$-tert-butyldimethylsilane (28b). The title compound was synthesized from 24 and (4-\{[tert-butyl(dimethyl)silyl]oxy\}phenyl)boronic acid according to procedure B (44\%). ${ }^{1}$ H NMR (200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.17(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.95(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.51(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.7-1.9$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.0(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.2-3.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.4-3.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $4.0-4.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.8-5.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.5-7.0(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.2-7.4(5 \mathrm{H}$, m). MS (ES) m/e: $646(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.
\{4-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]phenoxy \}acetic Acid Hydrochloride (10b). The title compound was synthesized from 28b according to the procedure described for the conversion of 28a to 10a ( $58 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 1.7-2.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.2-2.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.6-3.6(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $6.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.6-7.0(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.3-7.5(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. MS (ES) m/e: $468(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-[((8S)-8-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]-tert-butyloxycarbonylamino $\}$-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-5H-benzo [a]cyclohep-ten-2-yl)oxy]benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (29c). The title compound was synthesized from 26 and (3-methoxycarbonylphenyl)boric acid according to procedure $\mathrm{B}(44 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $1.51(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.8-2.1(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.5-2.8(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.0-3.4(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.6-6.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.9-7.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.1-7.8(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. MS (ES) $m / e: 588(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.

3-[((8S)-8-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-5H-benzo $[a]$ cyclohepten-2-yl)oxy]benzoic Acid Hydrochloride (10e). The title compound was synthesized from 29c according to procedure $\mathrm{C}(49 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta ; 1.2-1.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.7-2.1(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.2-2.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.4$ $(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 6.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.1-7.6(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.68(1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz})$. MS (ES) m/e: $452(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right.$. $\left.1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
4-[((8S)-8-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]-tert-butyloxycarbonylamino $\}$-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-5H-benzo [a]cyclohep-ten-2-yl)oxy]benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (29d). The title compound was synthesized from 26 and (4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)boric acid according to procedure $\mathrm{B}(33 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$
$1.51(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.8-2.1(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.5-2.8(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.0-3.4(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) .4 .91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.9-7.8(11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. MS (ES) m/e: 588 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.
4-[((8S)-8-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-5H-benzo[a]cyclohepten-2-yl)oxy]benzoic Acid Hydrochloride (10f). The title compound was synthesized from 29d according to procedure C $(49 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta ; 1.2-1.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.7-2.3(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.4(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.0$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.9-7.4(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$. MS (ES) m/e: $452(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \quad \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right.$. $\left.1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

6-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]-tert-butyloxycarbonylamino $\}-5,6,7,8$-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]nicotinic Acid Ethyl Ester (30). Typical Procedure D. To a mixture of $24(300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.718 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dimethyl sulfoxide $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added ethyl 6 -chloronicotinate ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(800 \mathrm{mg}, 5.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The resulting mixture was poured into a mixture of ethyl acetate and water, and the organic layer was washed with brine. After the solvent was evaporated under pressure, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate $=1 / 1$ ) to give $300 \mathrm{mg}(77 \%)$ of the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.52(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.7-2.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.6-3.0(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.2-3.6(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 4.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.8-7.2(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.2-7.4(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ $=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$. MS (ES) m/e: $589(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.

6-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]nicotinic Acid Dihydrochloride ( $\mathbf{1 0 g}$ ). The title compound was synthesized from 30 according to procedure C ( $73 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta ; 1.7-2.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.3-2.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.7(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.12(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), 6.8-7.0 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 7.0-7.3 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 7.4-7.6 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $8.27(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 9.6$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$. MS (ES) m/e: $439(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]-tert-bu-tyloxycarbonylamino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]-3-pyridinylcarboxaldehyde (31). The title compound was synthesized from 24 and 2-chloronicotinaldehyde according to procedure D ( $91 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.56(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.7-2.0$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.0(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.1-3.7(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.0-4.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.88$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.8-7.2(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. MS (ES) $m / e: 523$ $(M+H)$.

2-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]nicotinic Acid Hydrochloride (10h). Typical Procedure E. To a mixture of 31 (300 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.573 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetonitrile ( 5 mL ), pH 4 buffer solution (sodium dihydrogen phosphate) ( 0.25 mL ), and $30 \%$ hydrogen peroxide solution ( 0.12 mL ) was added sodium chlorite ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 5.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 4 h , diluted with ethyl acetate ( 50 mL ), washed with water followed by brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, and evaporated to give the corresponding acid. The obtained acid was diluted with 4 N hydrogen chloride in dioxane ( 10 mL ), and the mixture was allowed to keep at room temperature for 4 h . The mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure and the obtained solid was washed with ether to give 200 mg ( $62 \%$ ) of the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.7-2.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.3-2.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.7(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $6.7-7.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.1-7.3(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.4-7.7(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.1-8.3(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), $8.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 9.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. MS (ES) m/e: $439(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]-tert-bu-tyloxycarbonylamino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]-2-thiophenecarboxaldehyde (32). The title compound was synthesized from 24 and 5-bromothiophene-2-carbaldehyde according to procedure $\mathrm{D}(78 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.51(9 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.7-2.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.0(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.1-3.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.3-2.5$
$(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.0-4.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.8-5.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.5-6.8(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $6.8-7.6(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 9.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. MS (ES) $m / e: 550(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.
5-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]-2-thiophenecarboxylic Acid Hydrochloride (10i). The title compound was synthesized from 32 according to procedure E ( $56 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$; $1.8-2.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.4-3.4(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $6.5-7.5(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 9.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}: 444(\mathrm{M}$ $+1)$. HRMS $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$found: 444.1034. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ 444.1036. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{1} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]-tert-butyloxycarbonylamino $\}$-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (39a). Typical Procedure F. To a mixture of $\mathbf{2 4}(400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.957 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added 2,6-lutidine ( $0.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride ( $0.162 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at the same temperature. The mixture was poured into water, and the organic layer was washed with 1 N HCl and brine, then dried over magnesium sulfate. After filtration, the solvent was evaporated, and the obtained residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate and hexane (1:2) to give 473 mg ( $90 \%$ ) of (7S)-7-\{ (tert-butoxycarbonyl)[(2R)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.51(9 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.8-2.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.8-3.0(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.4-3.6$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.9-4.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.01(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $7.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.22-7.305(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. MS (ES) $m / e: 572(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$. To a solution of the sulfonate $(470 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.855 mmol ) in diethoxymethane ( 10 mL ) were added (3methoxycarbonylphenyl)boric acid ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The resulting mixture was filtrated by Celite, and the mother layer was evaporated under pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate $=2 / 1)$ to give $350 \mathrm{mg}(69 \%)$ of the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.52(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.8-2.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.8-3.1$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.2-3.7(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.0-4.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.93$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.0-7.5(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) m / e: 558(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.

3-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)benzoic Acid Hydrochloride (101). The title compound was synthesized from 39a according to procedure C ( $64 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.7-2.0$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.1-2.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.5-3.7(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.4$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.3-7.7(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $8.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 9.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. MS (ES) m/e: $422(\mathrm{M}+$ H). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)benzoic Acid Methy Ester (39b). The title compound was synthesized from 24 and (4methoxycarbonylphenyl)boric acid according to procedure $\mathrm{F}(78 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.52(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.8-2.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.8-3.1(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.2-3.7(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.0-4.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $4.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.1-7.4(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.09$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. MS (ES) $m / e: 558(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.
4-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)benzoic Acid Hydrochloride (10m). The title compound was synthesized from 39b according to procedure $\mathrm{C}(77 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.7-2.0$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.1-2.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.5-3.7(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.38$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.3-7.6(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) m / e: 422(\mathrm{M}+$ H). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-[(8S)-8-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-5H-benzo[7]annulen-2-yl]benzoic Acid Hydrochloride (10n). 39c was synthesized from 26 and (4methoxycarbonylphenyl)boric acid according to procedure F ( $61 \%$ ). MS (ES) $m / e: 572(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$. The title compound was synthesized from 39c according to procedure C ( $80 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz ,

DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.2-1.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.8-2.1(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.2-2.3(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $2.7-2.8(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.0-3.4(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), $7.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.35-7.65(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$. MS (ES) m/e: $436(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

6-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)nicotinic Acid Dihydrochloride (100). 39d was synthesized from 24 and (4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)boric acid according to procedure F ( $52 \%$ ). MS (ES) $m / e$ : $559(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$. The title compound was synthesized from 39d according to procedure $\mathrm{C}(83 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $1.74-1.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.32-2.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.85-3.04(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.38$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 3.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 5.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47-7.59(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.96(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $8.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.29-8.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br})$, $9.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br})$. MS (ES) m/e: $421(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
[4-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]-tert-bu-tyloxycarbonylamino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)phe-noxy]-tert-butyldimethylsilane (38a). The title compound was synthesized from 24 and (4-\{[tert-butyl(dimethyl)silyl]oxy \}phenyl)boronic acid according to procedure $\mathrm{F}(38 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.21(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.01(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.57(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.8-2.0(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 2.8-3.1(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.2-3.7(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.0-4.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.9(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 6.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.2-7.5(8 \mathrm{H}$, m). MS (ES) $m / e: 630(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.

4-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)phenoxy]acetic Acid Hydrochloride ( $\mathbf{1 0 k}$ ). The title compound was synthesized from 38a according to the procedure described for the conversion of 28a to 10a ( $40 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.7-2.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.1-2.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.5-3.7(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $6.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.2-7.7(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 9.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. MS (ES) m/e: 452 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
[3-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]-tert-bu-tyloxycarbonylamino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)phe-noxy]-tert-butyldimethylsilane (38b). The title compound was synthesized from 24 and (3-\{[tert-butyl(dimethyl)silyl]oxy \}phenyl)boronic acid according to procedure $\mathrm{F}(33 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.19(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.96(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.54(9 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.8-2.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.8-3.1(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.2-3.7(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.0-4.3$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.8-7.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.0-7.4(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES) $m / e: 630(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.
[3-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)phenoxy]acetic Acid Hydrochloride ( $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{j}$ ). The title compound was synthesized from 38b according to the procedure described for the conversion of 28a to 10a ( $68 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.7-2.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.1-2.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.5-3.7(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $6.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.0-7.4(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. MS (ES) m/e: $452(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right.$. $\left.1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
5-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)-2-thiophenecarboxylic Acid Hydrochloride (10p). Compound 40 was synthesized from 24 and (5-formyl-2-thienyl)boronic acid according to procedure F (36\%). MS (ES) $m / e: 512(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. The title compound was synthesized from 40 according to procedure E (33\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta ; 1.74-1.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.80-1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.30-2.33$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.80-2.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.13-3.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.29-3.36(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $3.52-3.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br})$, $7.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.39-7.53(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 9.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 13.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br})$. MS (ES) m/e: $426(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]-2-methylbenzoic Acid Hydrochloride (11a). The title compound was synthesized from 24 and [3-(methoxycarbonyl)-4-methylphenyl]boronic acid 33 according to the procedure described for the conversion of $\mathbf{2 4}$ to $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ $(33 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.71-1.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$,
2.14-2.21 (1H, m), $2.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.65-3.50(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.88-4.93$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.72-7.47(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. MS (ES) m/e: $450(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-Chloro-5-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethy-l]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]benzoic Acid Hydrochloride (11b). The title compound was synthesized from 24 and [3-(methoxycarbonyl)-4-chlorophenyl]boronic acid 34 according to the procedure described for the conversion of $\mathbf{2 4}$ to $\mathbf{1 0}$ c $(51 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.12-1.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.83-1.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.32-2.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.83-3.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.24-3.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.64-3.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.09-5.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.38$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.84-7.71(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 9.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 9.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), $13.38\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}\right.$ s). MS (ES) m/e: $470(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{1}-$ $\left.\mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]-2-methoxybenzoic Acid Hydrochloride (11c). The title compound was synthesized from 24 and (3-formyl-4-methoxyphenyl)boronic acid 35 according to procedures B and E (20\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $1.79-1.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.28-2.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.77-2.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.16-3.61(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.04-5.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.34-6.36$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.69-7.50(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 9.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), $12.72(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s). MS (ES) m/e: $482(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 3.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]-5-methoxybenzoic Acid Hydrochloride (11d). The title compound was synthesized from 24 and [3-methoxy-5-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl]boronic acid 36 according to the procedure described for the conversion of $\mathbf{2 4}$ to 10c $(40 \%)$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.15-1.25(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $1.83-1.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.27-2.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.78-2.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.08-3.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.68-3.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.02-5.05$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.35-6.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.82-7.50(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$, $9.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$. MS (ES) m/e: $466(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
Methyl 3-[((7S)-7-\{(tert-butoxycarbonyl)[(2R)-2-(3-chlorophe-nyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthaleny-l)oxy]-5-nitrobenzoate (38). The title compound was synthesized from 24 and [3-(methoxycarbonyl)-5-nitrophenyl]boronic acid 37 according to procedure $\mathrm{B}(54 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.36(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.9-2.1(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.6-3.0(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.3-3.4(3 \mathrm{H}$, m), $3.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.7-4.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.5-5.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.8-7.0(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), $7.1-7.4(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.7-7.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.9-8.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.30-8.35$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) m / e: 619(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.

Methyl 3-Amino-5-[((7S)-7-\{(tert-butoxycarbonyl)[(2R)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]benzoate (39). To a solution of 38 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixed solution of ethanol $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added iron powder ( $42.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ammmonium chloride ( $6.72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.126 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was heated under reflux for 2 h . After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, the precipitate was filtered through a pad of Celite. After concentration under reduced pressure, the residue was extracted with ethyl acetate, successively washed with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate and brine, and dried over magnesium sulfate. After concentration under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate and hexane ( $1: 3$ ) to give $132 \mathrm{mg}(93 \%)$ of the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.36(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.9-2.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.5-2.9(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.2-3.4(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.76(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.7-4.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $5.50-5.7(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 6.3-6.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.5-6.8(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.75(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.9-7.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.2-7.4$ (4H, m). MS (ES) m/e: $589(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.

3-Amino-5-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethy-1]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]benzoic Acid Dihydrochloride (11e). The title compound was synthesized from 39 according to procedure C ( $57 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 0.83-0.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.45-1.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.84-1.91(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), 2.29-2.35 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.80-2.93 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 3.13-3.89 (3H, m), $5.03-5.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.60-6.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.76-7.50(13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.94$
( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} s)$, 9.33 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$ ). MS (ES) m/e: 453 ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]-5-(dimethylamino)benzoic Acid Dihydrochloride (11f). To a solution of 39 ( 80 mg , 0.141 mmol ) in dichloromethane ( 2 mL ) were added sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $49.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.232 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetic acid ( $47 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), and $35 \%$ formaldehyde solution $(0.328 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.41 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 17 h . The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate and water. The extract was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate and hexane to give $70.5 \mathrm{mg}(84 \%)$ of methyl 3-[((7S)-7-\{(tert-butoxycarbonyl)[(2R)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino \}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]-5-(dimethylamino)benzoate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.36$ ( 9 H , s), $1.8-2.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.5-2.9(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.33(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.2-3.4(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 3.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.7-4.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.5-5.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.3-6.4(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), 6.6-6.8 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 6.95-7.15 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 7.25-7.42 (4H, m). MS (ES) $m / e: 617(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.

The title compound was synthesized from the obtained product according to procedure $\mathrm{C}(78 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right)$ : $\delta 1.51-1.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.75-1.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.28-2.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.73-2.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.93(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.14-3.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.38-3.50$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 5.02-5.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.63-6.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.77-7.50(10 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 8.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 9.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$. MS (ES) m/e: $479(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 4.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-(Acetylamino)-5-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino $\mathbf{~ - 5 , 6 , 7 , 8 - t e t r a h y d r o - 2 - n a p h t h a l e n y l ) o x y ] b e n - ~}$ zoic Acid Hydrochloride (11g). To a solution of $\mathbf{3 9}(73 \mathrm{mg}, 0.129$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine $(0.021 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.257 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added acetic anhydride ( $13.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . To the solution was added water, and the solution was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed with water and brine. The extract was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate and hexane to give 75 mg (95\%) of methyl3-(acetylamino)-5-[((7S)-7-\{(tert-butoxycarbonyl)[(2R)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino \}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2naphthalenyl)oxy]benzoate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.36$ $(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.9-2.1(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.2-2.3(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.0$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.2-3.4(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.7-4.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.5-5.6$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.7-6.9(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.1-7.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.3-7.4(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.50$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} s), 7.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 10.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. MS (ES) m/e: $607(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})$.

The title compound was synthesized from the obtained product according to procedure C ( $89 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.45-1.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.74-1.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.28-2.33$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.78-2.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.10-3.64(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.97-5.02(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), 6.33-6.36 (1H, m), 6.88-7.88 (10H, m), $8.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 10.21$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 13.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s). MS (ES) m/e: $493(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-\{[(7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl]oxy\}-5-(propylamino)benzoic Acid Dihydrochloride (11h). To a mixture of $\mathbf{3 9}(123 \mathrm{mg}, 0.217$ mmol ) in DMF ( 2.0 mL ) was added ethyl $n$-propyl iodide ( $47 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 22 h . The resulting mixture was poured into a mixture of ethyl acetate and water, and the organic layer was washed with brine. After the solvent was evaporated under pressure, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ) to give $54 \mathrm{mg}(41 \%)$ of methyl 3-\{[(7S)-7-\{(tert-butoxycarbonyl)[(2R)-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino \}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphtha-len-2-yl]oxy\}-5-(propylamino)benzoate. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 0.8-1.0(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.36(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.4-1.6(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.8-2.0$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.5-2.9(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.33(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.2-3.4(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.78$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.7-4.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.5-5.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.3-6.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$,
$6.5-6.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.7-6.95(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.1-7.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.3-7.5$ ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ). MS (ES) m/e: $631(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.

The title compound was synthesized from the above product according to procedure B (94\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 0.8-1.0(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.4-1.6(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.7-1.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.2-2.4$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.0(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.04-3.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.4-3.6(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $4.2-4.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 5.0-5.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 6.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $6.77-6.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.1-7.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.35-7.51(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 8.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 9.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$. MS (ES) m/e: $493(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-\{[(7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl]oxy\}-5-(cyclohexylamino)benzoic Acid Dihydrochloride (11i). The title compound was synthesized from 39 and cyclohexanone according to the procedure described for the conversion of $\mathbf{3 9}$ to $\mathbf{1 1 f}(40 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.1-2.0(11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.2-2.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-3.2$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.4-2.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 5.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.72-6.88$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.41-7.51(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.95(1 \mathrm{H}$, br), $9.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br})$. MS (ES) m/e: $533(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{Cl}_{1-}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]-5-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-ylamino)benzoic Acid Dihydrochloride (11j). The title compound was synthesized from 39 and tetrahydro- 4 H -pyran-4-one according to the procedure described for the conversion of $\mathbf{3 9}$ to 11f ( $34 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.5-2.2(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.1-3.0(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.0-3.8(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $6.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.8-7.0(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.3-7.6$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. MS (ES) m/e: $537(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{301} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]benzoic Acid Hydrochloride ( $\mathbf{1 1 k}$ ). The title compound was synthesized from 25 according to the procedure described for the conversion of $\mathbf{2 4}$ to $\mathbf{1 0 c}(46 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.85-2.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.30-2.50$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.70-3.60(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.10-5.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.80-6.90(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), 7.20-7.80 (9H, m). MS (ES) m/e: $438(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 2.6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]-2-methoxybenzoic Acid Hydrochloride (111). The title compound was synthesized from 25 according to the procedure described for the conversion of 24 to 11c (35\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.75-2.00(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 2.20-2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.60-3.60(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.05-5.15$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.75-6.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.05-7.25(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.40-7.50(4 \mathrm{H}$, m). MS (ES) m/e: $468(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-Hydroxy-2-(3-pyridinyl)ethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]benzoic Acid Dihydrochloride (11m). The title compound was synthesized from 27 according to procedure B (20\%), followed by the procedure described for the conversion of 46 to $\mathbf{1 2 g}(38 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.90-2.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.30-2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.70-3.10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.20-3.60(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.30-5.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.80-6.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.10-7.70(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz})$. MS (ES) m/e: $405(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(6-Chloro-3-pyridinyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]ami-no\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]benzoic Acid Dihydrochloride (11n). The title compound was synthesized from 27 according to the procedure described for the conversion of $\mathbf{2 4}$ to 10c ( $11 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.80-1.90(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $2.30-2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.50-3.50(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.10-5.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $6.80-7.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.15-7.70(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.90-8.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.48$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) m / e: 439(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 3.5 \mathrm{H}_{2^{-}}\right.$ O) C, H, N.

5-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(6-Chloro-3-pyridinyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]ami-no\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]-2-methoxybenzoic Acid Dihydrochloride (110). The title compound was synthesized from 27 according to the procedure described for the conversion of 24 to 11c ( $20 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.75-1.85$ ( 1 H ,
m), 2.30-2.40 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.70-3.30(7H, m), 3.80 (3H, s), $5.00-5.10$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.65-6.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.00-7.20(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES) m/e: $469(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ C, H, N.

3-[((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(6-Chloro-3-pyridinyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]ami-no\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)oxy]-5-(dimethylamino)benzoic Acid Dihydrochloride (11p). The title compound was synthesized from 27 according to the procedure described for the conversion of $\mathbf{2 4}$ to $\mathbf{1 1 f}(22 \%)$. HPLC purity: $95 \%$. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.8-2.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.96(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.0-4.0(5 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 5.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.5-7.3(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.91$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 9.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 9.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{m} / e$ : $482(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. HRMS $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$found: 482.1836. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} 482.1847$.
[3-(Methoxycarbonyl)-4-methylphenyl]boronic Acid (33). To a solution of methyl 5-bromo-2-methylbenzoate $(6.4 \mathrm{~g}, 27.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,4-dioxane ( 70 mL ) were added bis(pinacolate)diboron ( 7.09 $\mathrm{g}, 27.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium acetate $(8.23 \mathrm{~g}, 83.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, and dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) ( $1.57 \mathrm{~g}, 2.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . To the mixture was added 1 N hydrogen chloride. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed with 1 N hydrogen chloride and water. The extract was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the corresponding boronic ester. To a solution of the boronic ester in a mixed solution of acetone $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added sodium periodate (17.9 $\mathrm{g}, 83.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ammonium acetate $(6.45 \mathrm{~g}, 83.7 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 17 h . The precipitate was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed with water. The extract was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate and hexane (1:1) to give $4.05 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%)$ of the title compound as a palebrown solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 2.53(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.86$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.27$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), .8 .31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. MS (ES) m/e: 193 (M - H).
[3-Methoxy-5-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl]boronic Acid (36). To a mixture of methyl 3-hydroxy-5-methoxybenzoate $(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 8.23$ mmol ) in dichloromethane ( 15 mL ) were added 2,6-lutidine ( 1.05 $\mathrm{mL}, 9.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride $(1.52 \mathrm{~mL}$, 9.06 mmol ) at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at the room temperature. The mixture was poured into water, and the organic layer was washed with 1 N HCl and brine and then dried over magnesium sulfate to give the corresponding sulfonate. The title compound was synthesized from the obtained sulfonate according to the procedure described for the conversion of methyl 5-bromo-2-methylbenzoate to 33 except that the Pd catalyst was $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf}) \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(21 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 3.81$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $8.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. MS (ES) m/e: $209(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})$.

Benzyl [(2S)-7-Hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl]carbamate (41). To a mixture of (7S)-7-amino-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenol $\mathbf{1 8}(7.16 \mathrm{~g}, 43.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\operatorname{THF}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added benzyl chlorocarbonate $(6.58 \mathrm{~mL}, 46.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. The pH was kept between 7 and 8 by using 1 N aqueous NaOH . The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The mixture was partitioned between ethyl acetate and water. The organic layer was separated, washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (chloroform/methanol $=20 / 1$ ) to give $12.5 \mathrm{~g}(96 \%)$ of the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.60-1.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.92-2.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.4-3.1(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.87-4.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.8-5.0$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.63$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.6,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26-7.40(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.

Methyl 4-((7S)-7-\{[(Benzyloxy)carbonyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tet-rahydro-2-naphthalenyl)benzoate (42). The title compound was synthesized from 41 and 4-(methoxycarbonyl)phenylboronic acid according to procedure $\mathrm{F}(72 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$
$1.6-1.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.0-2.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.6-2.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.82-3.0$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.1-3.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.0-4.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.84$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), $5.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.17-7.41(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.61$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) m / e: 416(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H})$.

Methyl 4-[(7S)-7-Amino-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl]benzoate (43). A mixture of $42(580 \mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(50$ mL ) was hydrogenated over palladium on carbon $(10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 50 \%$ wet, 58 mg ) under hydrogen atmosphere for 1 h . The catalyst was filtered off, and the filtrate was evaporated to give 395 mg ( $100 \%$ ) of the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.6-1.9$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.0-2.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.6-2.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.8-3.0(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.2-3.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.8-3.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.2-7.5(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES) $m / e: 282(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
(2R)-2-(4-Chlorophenyl)oxirane (22). Typical Procedure G. To a solution of AD-mix- $\beta(10.1 \mathrm{~g})$ in tert-butanol $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 60 mL ) was added 1-chloro-4-vinylbenzene ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.22$ mmol ) on ice-cooling, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 4 h . To the mixture was added sodium sulfite (19 g). The resulting mixture was poured into saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate $=1 / 1$ ) to give $1.04 \mathrm{~g}(83.5 \%)$ of $(1 R)$-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,2-ethanediol. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.50-3.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.70-4.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.20-7.40(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m})$.

Trimethylsilyl chloride ( $0.956 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of (1R)-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,2-ethanediol (1.0 g, 5.79 $\mathrm{mmol})$ and trimethyl orthoacetate $(0.87 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 30 mL ) on ice-cooling. The mixture was stirred for 1 h and evaporated. The crude product was dissolved in dry methanol, and potassium carbonate ( $1.97 \mathrm{~g}, 14.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The suspension was stirred vigorously for 100 min and then filtered, and the residue was washed with dichloromethane. The filtrate was washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, and evaporated to give 700 mg ( $83.2 \%$ ) of the title compound as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.80-3.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.18-7.40$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. The optical purity was determined as $98.6 \%$ ee by chiral HPLC (Chiralcel OD); eluent, 2-propanol/hexane $=0.25 \%$.
(2R)-2-(4-Methylphenyl)oxirane (44). The title compound was synthesized from 1-methyl-4-vinylbenzene according to procedure G (93\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.80(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=2.5,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=2.5,4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.10-7.30(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. The optical purity was determined as $97.8 \%$ ee by chiral HPLC (Chiralcel OD).

Sodium 4-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethy-1]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)benzoate (12b). Typical Procedure H. A solution of methyl 4-[(7S)-7-amino-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl] benzoate $43(142 \mathrm{mg}, 0.505 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $(2 R)$-2-(4-chlorophenyl)oxirane 22 ( $70.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.454 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol ( 10 mL ) was refluxed for 18 h . The mixture was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (chloroform/methanol $=100: 1$ ) to give $130 \mathrm{mg}(59.1 \%)$ of methyl 4-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)benzoate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.4-1.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.9-2.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.6-3.2(6 \mathrm{H}$, m), 4.6-4.7 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $5.4-5.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.3-7.5(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4$ Hz ). MS (ES) m/e: $436(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

To a solution of the obtained product ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.298 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol ( 3.0 mL ) was added 1 N sodium hydroxide $(0.75 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was refluxed for 3 h . After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, the precipitates were collected by filtration, washed with a small amount of ethanol, and dried under reduced pressure at $40-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give $120 \mathrm{mg}(92.1 \%)$ of the title compound as a colorless powder. HPLC purity: $99 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.40-1.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.90-2.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.50-3.20$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.60-4.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30-7.40$
(6H, m), $7.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES) $m / e: 422(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. HRMS $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$found: 422.1523. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{3} 422.1523$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{Na} \cdot 1.8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot\right.$ $\left.0.5 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Sodium 4-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(2-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethy-1]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)benzoate (12a). The title compound was synthesized from $\mathbf{4 3}$ according to procedure H ( $45 \%$ ). HPLC purity: $97 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $1.8-3.0(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.0-7.7(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.8-8.0(2 \mathrm{H}$, m). MS (ES) m/e: $420(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})$. HRMS $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$found: 422.1513. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{3} 422.1523$.

Sodium 4-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(4-Cyanophenyl)-2-hydroxyethy1]amino \}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)benzoate (12d). The title compound was synthesized from 43 according to procedure H ( $71 \%$ ). HPLC purity: $98 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $1.4-3.0(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.2-7.6$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES) $m / e: 413(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. HRMS $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$found: 413.1859. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 413.1865$.

Sodium 4-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(4-trifluorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethy-1]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)benzoate (12e). The title compound was synthesized from 43 according to procedure H ( $56 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.8-3.2$ ( $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 4.73 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.3-7.8(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$. MS (ES) m/e: $456(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{Na} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Sodium 4-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-2-hydroxy-ethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)benzoate (12f). The title compound was synthesized from 43 according to procedure H (54\%). HPLC purity: $97 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $1.8-3.0(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.0-7.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.2-7.9(9 \mathrm{H}$, m). MS (ES) $m / e: 472(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) . \operatorname{HRMS}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$found: 456.1126. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{3} 456.1133$.

Sodium 4-( $7 S$ )-7-\{[(2R)-2-(6-Chloro-3-pyridinyl)-2-hydroxy-ethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)benzoate (12h). The title compound was synthesized from 43 according to procedure H ( $42 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.50-1.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.90-2.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.50-3.50(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.70-4.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.10-7.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.20-7.60(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.70-8.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.40$ $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. MS (ES) $m / e: 423(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{1}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{Na} \cdot 2.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
Methyl 4-[(7S)-7-\{(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)[(2R)-2-hydroxy-2-(4-methylphenyl)ethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2yl]benzoate (45). The title compound was synthesized from 43 and ( $2 R$ )-2-(4-methylphenyl)oxirane 44 according to procedure A ( $29 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.52(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.8-2.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.8-3.1(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.2-3.7(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, 4.1-4.2 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.13-7.42(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) m / e: 516(\mathrm{M}+$ H).

4-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-Hydroxy-2-(4-methylphenyl)ethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)benzoic Acid Hydrochloride (12c). The title compound was synthesized from 45 according to procedure $\mathrm{C}(46.4 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.80-2.00$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.31(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.25-2.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.70-3.70(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $5.00-5.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.85-6.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.10-7.55(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.80$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}: 402(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Methyl 4-((7S)-7-\{ (tert-Butoxycarbonyl)[(2R)-2-(6-chloro-3-pyridinyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)benzoate (46). The title compound was synthesized from 43 and 2-chloro-5-[(2R)-oxiran-2-yl]pyridine $\mathbf{2 3}$ according to procedure A (36.7\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.52(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.8-2.0$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.8-3.1(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.2-3.7(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.1-4.2$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.16-7.42(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.38$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$. MS (ES) m/e: $537(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

4-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-Hydroxy-2-(3-pyridinyl)ethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)benzoic Acid Dihydrochloride (12g). To a solution of $46(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(15.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 1 N sodium hydroxide $(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was stirred
for 2 h at room temperature. The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and 1 N hydrochloride. The organic layer was separated, washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, and evaporated. A mixture of the obtained benzoic acid ( $800 \mathrm{mg}, 1.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ammonium formate ( 300 mg ), and palladium on carbon powder $(100 \mathrm{mg})$ in methanol $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 15 min . The reaction mixture was filtered, poured into water, and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (chloroform - methanol) to give $620 \mathrm{mg}(68 \%)$ of $4-((7 S)-7-\{$ tert-butoxycarbonyl)[(2R)-2-hydroxy-2-(3-pyridinyl)ethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)benzoic acid as a colorless form. MS (ES) $m / e: 489(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

A solution of the obtained product ( $620 \mathrm{mg}, 1.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4 N hydrochloric acid in dioxane ( 10 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . The resultant solid was collected by filtration and dried to give $450 \mathrm{mg}(75 \%)$ of the title compound as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.80-1.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.30-2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.80-3.50(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.30-5.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.20$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.40-7.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.90-8.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. MS (ES) m/e: $389(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)-2-methylbenzoic Acid Hydrochloride (12i). The title compound was synthesized from 25 and [4-(methoxycarbonyl)-3-methylphenyl]boronic acid according to the procedure described for the conversion of $\mathbf{2 4}$ to $\mathbf{1 0 m}(44 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.80-2.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.30-2.40$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.59(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.70-3.70(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.05-5.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30-7.65(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz})$. MS (ES) m/e: $436(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)-2-fluorobenzoic Acid Hydrochloride (12j). The title compound was synthesized from 25 and [3-fluoro-4-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl]boronic acid according to the procedure described for the conversion of $\mathbf{2 4}$ to $\mathbf{1 0 m}(34 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.80-1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.25-2.40$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.70-3.60(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.00-5.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.40-7.65(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$. MS (ES) $m / e$ : $440(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. HRMS $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$found: 440.1432. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{3} 440.1429$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)-2-methoxybenzoic Acid Hydrochloride (12k). The title compound was synthesized from 25 and [3-methoxy-4-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl]boronic acid according to the procedure described for the conversion of $\mathbf{2 4}$ to $\mathbf{1 0 m}(65 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.80-1.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.30-2.40$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.80-3.20(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.00-5,05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.10-7.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.50-7.60(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$. MS (ES) m/e: $452(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right.$. $\left.1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
4-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)-2-isopropoxybenzoic Acid Hydrochloride (121). The title compound was synthesized from 25 and [3-isopropoxy-4-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl]boronic acid according to the procedure described for the conversion of $\mathbf{2 4}$ to 10m (67\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.25$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.5-3.5(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.2-7.0$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.1-7.6(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$. MS (ES) $m / e$ : $480(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)-3-methylbenzoic Acid Hydrochloride (12m). The title compound was synthesized from 25 and [4-(methoxycarbonyl)-2-methylphenyl]boronic acid according to the procedure described for the conversion of $\mathbf{2 4}$ to $\mathbf{1 0 m}(39 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.75-1.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.40(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $2.40-2.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.70-3.00(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.00-5.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$,
$7.00-7.30(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.35-7.45(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.80-7.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES) $m / e: 436(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ C, H, N.

4-((7S)-7-\{[(2R)-2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethyl]amino\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthalenyl)-3-fluorobenzoic Acid Hydrochloride (12n). The title compound was synthesized from 25 and [2-fluoro-4-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl]boronic acid according to the procedure described for the conversion of $\mathbf{2 4}$ to $\mathbf{1 0 m}(63 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.70-1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.30-2.40$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.70-3.50(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.00-5.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.20-7.90(10 \mathrm{H}$, m). MS (ES) m/e: $440(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right.$. $\left.1.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 3.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Biological Materials and Methods. In Vitro Experiments. (1) Cell Culture. We used stably transfected Chinese hamster ovary $(\mathrm{CHO})$ cells expressing recombinant human $\beta 1-, \beta 2-, \beta 3$-ARs and recombinant canine $\beta 3-\mathrm{AR}$. CHO cells were seeded 2 days before the assays in 96 -well plates at a density of $(1-1.3) \times 10^{4}$ cell/ well.
(2) cAMP Accumulation Assay. CHO cells grown to confluence were washed twice with assay buffer $[130 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}$, $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2 \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2 \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ glucose, 10 mM HEPES, $0.1 \%$ bovine serum albumin, pH 7.4$]$ and incubated with $180 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of assay buffer containing 0.5 mM 3 -isobutylmethylxanthine (IBMX) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . Test compound ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) dissolved in assay buffer containing $1 \%$ DMSO was then added, and cells were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . The reaction was stopped by addition of $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 0.1 M HCl . After 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, cells were centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The amount of cAMP in the supernatant was determined using a cAMP enzymeimmunoassay (EIA) kit (Amersham Biosciences). The supernatant was frozen below $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the measurement of cAMP levels.
(3) Data Analysis. cAMP acumulation elicited by test compounds were expressed as a percentage of the maximal response to isoproterenol. Fifty percent effective concentration $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$ values were calculated using GraphPad Prism (version 3.03) from the concentration-response curve.
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